TOWARDS THE DEVELOPMENT OF SUBJECT-INDEPENDENT INVERSE METABOLIC MODELS

Seyedhooman Sajjadi, Anurag Das, Ricardo Gutierrez-Osuna, Theodora Chaspari, Projna Paromita, Laura E. Ruebush, Nicolaas E. Deutz, Bobak J. Mortazavi

Introduction

Motivation

- 30M Americans suffer from diabetes and 84M are pre-diabetic
- In order to prevent pre-diabetics from developing type 2 diabetes, it is important to minimize excess glucose levels

Significance

- Maintaining proper glucose levels requires proper management of <u>diet</u> and <u>exercise</u>
- While exercise tracking exists, current diet monitoring solutions remain impractical or creates user burden, often with abundant manual logging necessary

Continuous Glucose Monitoring: An Opportunity

- Continuous glucose monitors (CGM) can measure the post prandial glucose response (PPGR) to any food eaten
- PPGR is known to be impacted by the macronutrient composition of meals (carbohydrates, proteins, and fats)
- This suggests the shape of the PPGR can be used to estimate macronutrients in a meal.
- We call these Inverse Metabolic Models (IMMs)

Key Challenge

A landmark study from Zeevi et. al (Cell, 2015) tracked glucose response of meals in 800 participants and identified significant differences in responses to the same meals







Method

Analytic Design

- We provided participants with nine meals of known macronutrient composition and were asked to wear a CGM to capture data
- 15 healthy older adults (60-85 years), BMI of 25-35 (IRB #2017-0886)

Meal	CHO (g)	Protein (g)	Fat (g)	Total E
C1P1F1	52.25	15	13	
C2P2F2	94.75	30	26	
C3P3F3	179.75	60	52	
C1P2F2	52.25	30	26	
C3P2F2	179.75	30	26	
C2P3F2	94.75	60	26	
C2P1F2	94.75	15	26	
C2P2F3	94.75	30	52	
C2P2F1	94.75	30	13	

Feature Extraction

curve at various points in time g: glucose k: Gaussian kernels



Baseline Correction and Feature Normalization

- Center all PPGRs around their initial fasting level
- min-max normalization











Normalize features subject-wise to reduce heterogeneity: z-score and

Experiments and Results

- concentrations)

Results of baseline correction using XGBoost						
	Correlation			Mean RMSRE (std)		
Model	С	Р	F	С	Р	F
None	0.55	0.42	0.39	0.41(0.17)	0.51(0.15)	0.51(0.16)
Subtraction	0.61	0.48	0.48	0.35(0.20)	0.50(0.13)	0.49(0.15)
Division	0.59	0.49	0.47	0.34(0.21)	0.49(0.12)	0.51(0.13)

- remain large
- - Model None min-max z-score

Conclusion

- normalization

Acknowledgements #1648451).



• Using a leave-one-subject-out cross-validation, three XGBoost decision tree regression models were trained to estimate quantity of Carbohydrates, Proteins, and Fats.

We calculated correlation and root mean square relative error (to be able to compare quantity errors with different composition

First, we evaluate the impact of baseline correction:

Correlation significance: p < 0.001

• This improved correlations to statistical significance, but errors

• Then we implement normalization:

	Correlation			Mean RMSRE (std)			
	С	Ρ	\mathbf{F}	С	Ρ	\mathbf{F}	
	0.61	0.48	0.48	0.35(0.20)	0.50(0.13)	0.49(0.15)	
K	0.77	0.48	0.64	0.28(0.16)	0.47(0.17)	0.41(0.14)	
	0.83	0.43	0.65	0.22(0.10)	0.50(0.12)	0.40(0.14)	

Using normalization techniques, we find accurate subjectindependent IMMs for C and F. Improvement of P requires additional biomarker data not currently captured by CGMs.

We evaluated the impact of pre-processing techniques to account for subject-to-subject variability

We improved accuracy of IMMs

These results remain stable with the use of only two meals for

This work was supported, in part, by funding from the National Science Foundation (Award #2014475) and Engineering Research Center for Precise Advanced Technologies and Health Systems for Underserved Populations (PATHS-UP) (Award