# Statistical Properties of a Modified Welch Method That Uses Sample Percentiles

Felix Schwock, Shima Abadi

University of Washington – Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering

2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing – Toronto (virtual)







#### Research Effort

- **Common problem:** estimate power spectral density of noisy signals that are compromised by outliers
- **Common approach** (ocean acoustics, astrophysics, ...): use Welch's method and replace the mean averaging by a median averaging



### Research Effort

- **Common problem:** estimate power spectral density of noisy signals that are compromised by outliers
- **Common approach** (ocean acoustics, astrophysics, ...): use Welch's method and replace the mean averaging by a median averaging
- Our work:
  - extend Welch median idea to a more general Welch percentile estimator
  - derive its statistical properties
  - use results to derive confidence intervals
  - analyze effect of outliers on percentile estimator





3

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト









э

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト







Felix Schwock, Shima Abadi

IEEE ICASSP 2021 4 / 12

#### Statistical Properties of WP Estimator

• Bias of Quantile:

$$b = \psi(K+2) - \psi(K(1-q)+1)$$
  
$$\psi(n) \approx \ln(n) - \frac{1}{2n} - \frac{1}{12n^2} + \frac{1}{120n^4} - \frac{1}{252n^6}$$

Variables

- *b* bias
- *K* number of periodograms
- $q q^{\text{th}}$  quantile
- $\psi$  digamma function
- $\psi_1$  trigamma function
- P true PSD

э

1 E K

- 🖌 🖻

#### Statistical Properties of WP Estimator

- Bias of Quantile:  $b = \psi(K+2) - \psi(K(1-q)+1)$  $\psi(n) \approx \ln(n) - \frac{1}{2n} - \frac{1}{12n^2} + \frac{1}{120n^4} - \frac{1}{252n^6}$
- Variance of WP Estimator:

٩

$$\operatorname{var}\left\{\hat{P}_{q}^{(\mathrm{WP})}\right\} = \frac{P^{2}}{b^{2}}\left[\psi_{1}(K(1-q)+1) - \psi_{1}(K+2)\right]$$
$$\psi_{1}(n) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\psi(n)}{\mathrm{d}n} \approx \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{2n^{2}} + \frac{1}{6n^{3}} - \frac{1}{30n^{5}} + \frac{1}{42n^{7}}$$

#### Variables

- b bias
- *K* number of periodograms
- $q q^{\text{th}}$  quantile
- $\psi$  digamma function
- $\psi_1$  trigamma function
- P true PSD

#### Statistical Properties of WP Estimator

- Bias of Quantile:  $b = \psi(K+2) - \psi(K(1-q)+1)$  $\psi(n) \approx \ln(n) - \frac{1}{2n} - \frac{1}{12n^2} + \frac{1}{120n^4} - \frac{1}{252n^6}$
- Variance of WP Estimator:

$$\operatorname{var}\left\{\hat{P}_{q}^{(\mathrm{WP})}\right\} = \frac{P^{2}}{b^{2}} \left[\psi_{1}(K(1-q)+1) - \psi_{1}(K+2)\right]$$
$$\psi_{1}(n) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\psi(n)}{\mathrm{d}n} \approx \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{2n^{2}} + \frac{1}{6n^{3}} - \frac{1}{30n^{5}} + \frac{1}{42n^{7}}$$

• Limiting Properties ( $\mathcal{K} 
ightarrow \infty$ ):  $b = -\ln{(1-q)}$ 

#### Variables

- *b* bias
- *K* number of periodograms
- $q q^{\text{th}}$  quantile
- $\psi$  digamma function
- $\psi_1$  trigamma function
- P true PSD

$$\operatorname{var}\left\{\hat{P}_{q}^{(\mathrm{WP})}\right\} = \left(\frac{P}{b}\right)^{2} \cdot \frac{q}{K(1-q)}$$

Simulation Results – Bias



#### no bias correction

IEEE ICASSP 2021 6 / 12

э

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

#### Simulation Results – Bias



IEEE ICASSP 2021 6 / 12

э

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

bias correction using

#### Simulation Results – Variance



- trigamma: var =  $\frac{P^2}{b^2} \left[ \psi_1(K(1-q)+1) \psi_1(K+2) \right]$
- limit:  $\operatorname{var} = \left(\frac{P}{b}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{q}{K(1-q)}$

- 34

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

### Lowest Variance Estimator



Felix Schwock, Shima Abadi

IEEE ICASSP 2021 8 / 12

#### **Confidence Intervals**



э 9/12 **IEEE ICASSP 2021** 

э

Image: A math a math

#### **Confidence Intervals**



э

э

• • • • • • • • • • •

#### **Confidence Intervals**



- K = 100
- *q* = 0.8
- Hann-window, 50 % overlap



WP estimator performs well if percentage of outliers is small ( $\leq$ 5%)

э

- A - E

→ ∢ ≣

WP estimator performs well if percentage of outliers is small ( ${\leq}5\,\%)$ 

#### Large percentage of outliers:

- simulated white noise, K = 100
- 30% of periodograms contain outliers



WP estimator performs well if percentage of outliers is small ( ${\leq}5\,\%)$ 

#### Large percentage of outliers:

- simulated white noise, K = 100
- 30% of periodograms contain outliers





WP estimator performs well if percentage of outliers is small (<5%)

#### Large percentage of outliers:

- simulated white noise, K = 100



100

50

n

n

12800

25600

sample

28400

51200

amplitude

15



э

▶ ∢ ⊒

Image: A math a math



- correct for bias due to outliers by
  - $q \rightarrow \frac{q}{1-e}$ , where e = percentage of outliers
  - $K \rightarrow K(1-e)$



#### Conclusions and Future Work

#### • Key Results:

- simple expressions for bias, variance, and limiting distribution of the Welch percentile estimator can be derived from order statistics
- theoretical expressions show excellent agreement with simulations and can be used to derive confidence intervals
- the 80<sup>th</sup> percentile estimator shows better variance properties than the commonly used 50<sup>th</sup> percentile estimator
- bias and variance expressions can easily be adapted if percentage of outliers is large

#### Conclusions and Future Work

#### • Key Results:

- simple expressions for bias, variance, and limiting distribution of the Welch percentile estimator can be derived from order statistics
- theoretical expressions show excellent agreement with simulations and can be used to derive confidence intervals
- the 80<sup>th</sup> percentile estimator shows better variance properties than the commonly used 50<sup>th</sup> percentile estimator
- bias and variance expressions can easily be adapted if percentage of outliers is large

#### • Future Work:

- improve theoretical results for small number of periodograms
- adapt estimator if percentage of outliers is unknowns