

Identification of Uterine Contractions by an Ensemble of Gaussian Processes

Liu Yang*, Cassandra Heiselman[†], J. Gerald Quirk[†], Petar M. Djurić^{*}

- * Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering, Stony Brook University,
- [†] Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Medicine, Stony Brook University Hospital, Stony Brook, NY, 11794, USA

IEEE ICASSP 2021





Overview

Motivation
Problem Description
Model and Method
Experiments
Conclusions





Motivation

- Electronic fetal monitoring records both fetal heart rate (FHR) and uterine activity (UA) signals.
- Automatic FHR analysis assists clinicians to reduce the risk of fetal hypoxia and acidosis with timely surgical interventions during labor.
- With the fact that UA causes FHR, the identification of uterine contractions can guide us for advanced FHR interpretation.



Picture source:

https://www.stonybrook.edu/commcms/electrical/research/2021/djuric.php





Problem Description

One of the common approaches for UA monitoring is tocodynamometry (TOCO), which is an external monitoring.

The TOCO UA signals are usually noisy with unstable resting tones and intensive jiggling.







FAR

BEYOND

Problem Description

One of the common approaches for UA monitoring is tocodynamometry (TOCO), which is an external monitoring.

The TOCO UA signals are usually noisy with unstable resting tones and intensive jiggling.











Step 1: Data pre-processing

Since the typical sampling rate of UA signal is 4Hz, we take it as an example and design the filters.







Step 1: Data pre-processing

Since the typical sampling rate of UA signal is 4Hz, we take it as an example and design the filters.

30-seconds 1D median filter

Zero-phase low-pass filter with cutoff frequency 0.04Hz

6-min 1D median filter

Normalization in a moving 6-min window







Step 1: Data pre-processing

Since the typical sampling rate of UA signal is 4Hz, we take it as an example and design the filters.

30-seconds 1D median filter





Step2: Candidate detection

difference operator d[n]=x[n+delta]-x[n] delta=0.4min/0.6min









FAR BEYOND

























Step4: Contraction recognition

Since most of the candidates should be contractions, it can be formulated to an imbalanced classification problem.

According to [1], we applied the EnGPC-GPLVM to predict the probabilities of every candidates being contractions.



Contraction Recognition

FAR BEYOND [1] L. Yang, C. Heiselman, J. G. Quirk and P. M. Djurić, "Class-imbalanced classifiers using ensembles of Gaussian processes and Gaussian process latent variable models", in Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust., Speech, Signal Process., ICASSP-2021



Step4: Contraction recognition

Training set $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}\}$

 $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{dx imes n} \ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Each column of X contains all features of a candidate sample.

Test set
$$\mathcal{D}^* = \{\mathbf{X}^*, \mathbf{y}^*\}$$



















Test with simulated UA signals

UA = Baseline + Contractions + Perlin Noise + Impulsive Noise



FAR [2] M. Liu, L. A. Belfore, Y. Shen and M. W. Scerbo, "Uterine contraction modeling and simulation," in *MODSIM World 2009 Conference and Expo Virginia Beach*, 2010, pp.135-140.



Test with simulated UA signals

Training set : 187 positive samples and 26 negative samples Test set : 109 samples per class



Test with simulated UA signals

Training set : 187 positive samples and 26 negative samples Test set : 109 samples per class



FAR BEYOND



Test with real UA signals

Real UA data from an open access database (CTU-CHB Intrapartum Cardiotocography Database) Two of the coauthors from Stony Brook University Hospital annotate the training data.

🛢 Database 🏼 🗗 Open Access

CTU-CHB Intrapartum Cardiotocography Database

Published: Feb. 18, 2014. Version: 1.0.0





Data source: https://physionet.org/content/ctu-uhb-ctgdb/1.0.0/



Test with real UA signals

Real UA data from an open access database (CTU-CHB Intrapartum Cardiotocography Database) Two of the coauthors from Stony Brook University Hospital annotate the training data.



Training set: 233 positive samples 46 negative samples Test set: 41 samples per class

Methods	TPR	FPR	TNR	FNR	F-score
GPC	0.9012	0.3171	0.6829	0.1488	0.7387
EGPC-Avg	0.7561	0.1950	0.8049	0.2439	0.7850
EGPC-GPLVM	0.8049	0.1195	0.8293	0.1951	0.8148





Conclusions

- We tackled the problem of uterine contraction identification from raw and noisy TOCO UA signals.
- A four-step method is proposed where the problem is finally transformed to a task of class-imbalanced classification.
- A GPLVM-based ensemble of GPCs is proposed and used in this work.





Thank you very much for your attention!

Contacts

- *{liu.yang.2, petar.djuric}@stonybrook.edu
- +{cassandra.heiselman, j.gerald.quirk}@stonybrookmedicine.edu



IEEE ICASSP 2021₂₆