



## Listen to the Pixels

Sanjoy Chowdhury<sup>1, 2</sup>, Subhrajyoti Dasgupta<sup>3</sup>, Sudip Das<sup>3</sup>, Ujjwal Bhattacharya<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>International Institute of Information Technology, Hyderabad <sup>2</sup>ShareChat, Bangalore <sup>3</sup>Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata

Paper #2351

#### **Audio-visual Co-Segmentation**





Frames showing a moving sound-producing object

#### **Audio-visual Co-Segmentation**





& Separation of the sound sources

### **Audio-visual Co-Segmentation**

#### Applications

- Understanding which parts of the image are producing sound
- Independent volume control of different sound sources
- Removal of specific audio sources
- Independent audio adjustments of different sound sources
- Moving vehicle tracking [2] and others.



Fig. - Audio-visual Co-Segmentation [1]

[1] - Zhao, Hang, et al. "The sound of pixels." Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV). 2018.

[2] - Gan, Chuang, et al. "Self-supervised moving vehicle tracking with stereo sound." *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*. 2019.

#### Challenges



Only one sound-producing object among many others



Multiple similar sound-producing objects





Sound-producing objects in-the-wild



One sound-producing object occluding the other(s)



Distant sound-producing objects



Lack of annotated data

#### **Related Works**



- An unsupervised learning algorithm for the separation of sound sources in one-channel music signals [1]
- A network that can localize the object that sounds in an image, given the audio signal [2]
- PixelPlayer a system to locate image regions which produce sounds and separate the input sounds that represents the sound from each pixel [3]
- Audio-visual event localization by jointly taking both audio and visual features at each time segment as inputs [4]

[1] Tuomas Virtanen, "Monaural sound source separation by nonnegative matrix factorization with temporal continuity and sparseness criteria," *IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 1066–1074, 2007

[2] Arandjelovic, Relja, and Andrew Zisserman. "Objects that sound." Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV). 2018.

[3] Zhao, Hang, et al. "The sound of pixels." Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV). 2018.

[4] Lin, Yan-Bo, Yu-Jhe Li, and Yu-Chiang Frank Wang. "Dual-modality seq2seq network for audio-visual event localization." *ICASSP 2019-2019 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2019.

#### **Our Contributions**





Efficient blending of audio-visual information through LoGAn



#### A novel Spatial Attention Block



Partially occluded sound-source segmentation



Audio intensity cue guided segmentation of multiple sound-sources

 Table 1: Performance comparison with respect to sound separation and semantic segmentation.

Method	SDR	SIR	Visual Segmentation Accuracy (%)	
Audio feature only	5.28	9.43	59.68	
Visual feature only	4.16	6.88	63.49	
Zhao et al. [6]	1.03	6.37	45.90	
PixelPlayer [5]	4.96	9.21	64.42	
AViS-Net [ours]	7.43	13.16	70.95	

Outperforming existing SOTA methods in joint audiovisual segmentation in unconstrained setting

#### **Our Proposed Work**



- In this work we aim to solve the joint audio-visual segmentation problem in a self-supervised manner by leveraging the audio and visual modalities
- Our network is able to blend cross-modal information more efficiently to extract the high level semantic information
- And more importantly, it works equally well even in cases of occluded sound source segmentation and also the segmentation of multiple but similar acoustic sources.

#### **Architecture of the Proposed Network**





#### **Architecture of the Proposed Network**





#### **Architecture of the Proposed Network**





Audio Features Stream

#### **STREAM 1: Visual Features Stream**



- The first input stream of the network aims to extract the Visual Features for the purpose of doing the segmentation with the help of audio signal
- We make use of a Deformable Convolution [2] based ResNet [1] backbone to extract a dense feature representation
- The visual segmentation path comprises a 'Spatial Attention Block' to enable a Transformer network based encoder-decoder to obtain an attention map of the sound-producing object(s)
- We use a Features Pyramid Network [3] to extract the multi-channel learnt attention based features to get the segmentation map.

[1]Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun, "Deep residual learning for image recognition," in CVPR, 2016, pp. 770–778.
[2] Jifeng Dai, Haozhi Qi, Yuwen Xiong, Yi Li, Guodong Zhang, Han Hu, and Yichen Wei, "Deformable convolutional networks," in ICCV, 2017, pp. 764–773.
[3] Tsung-Yi Lin, Piotr Dollár, Ross Girshick, et al., "Feature pyramid networks for object detection," in CVPR, 2017, pp. 2117–2125.

#### **STREAM 2: Audio Features Stream**



- To convert the audio signals into spectrograms, we use Short-Time Fourier Transform (STFT) with window size and hop length of 1022 and 256 respectively.
- Audio separation module performs feature extraction using an Audio U-Net that is later used along with the visual features for the sound source separation task.

#### **Cross-modal learning through LoGAn**

- The extracted audio and visual features need to be fused productively to facilitate cross-modal learning.
- LoGAn fusion module is used to allow high-level associations of audio and video features by capturing semantic information.
- The aggregated fusion feature map is obtained using a few convolutional layers over the visual features and the pixel-wise multiplication of the audio features and the attention map.





#### **Cross-modal learning through LoGAn**



- Attention map  $M_t$  is obtained by applying sum-pooling followed by *Power* and  $L_2$  normalization
- Values in the attention map ranges between [0,1]; where 0 represents non-coherence between audio and visual cues and 1 represents high association

 $[A'_t])$ 

• The aggregate feature map  $F_{agg}$  can be formulated as:

$$F_{agg}\,=\,Conv([V_t,M_t\,\odot$$
 where,  $ig[\cdot\,,\,\cdotig]$  denotes concatenation operation

- This novel approach of cross-modal information blending turns out to be very efficient for the task
- We apply binary mask with per pixel sigmoid CE Loss

#### Partially occluded sound source capture



- 'Hide-and-detect' approach mask the occluded source features before feeding it to the transformer encoder
- Curriculum learning strategy by initially masking the entire acoustic source
- Gradually masking smaller segments in order to train the network for the occluded source segmentation task

#### **Audio guided segmentation**



- We use audio information to segment multiple (but similar) sound sources present in the visual scene
- Audio intensity is faint for objects at greater depths
- We follow [1] to detect the presence of another instance of the same kind





(a) (b) **Fig.** : Inference of AViS-Net: (a) without using audio information, (b) on using audio information.

[1] - Arthur N´adas, David Nahamoo, and Michael A Picheny, "Speech recognition using noise-adaptive prototypes," in ICASSP. IEEE, 1988, pp. 517–518.

#### **Experimental results**



Performance comparison with contemporary methods shows that individual components perform significantly well for audio-visual joint segmentation tasks. We consider Audio-Visual Event (AVE) [7] dataset for all the experiments.

**Table 1**: Performance comparison with respect to sound sep-aration and semantic segmentation (IoU threshold 75%).

Method	SDR	SIR	Visual Segmentation Accuracy (%)	
Audio feature only	5.28	9.43	59.68	
Visual feature only	4.16	6.88	63.49	
Zhao et al. [6]	1.03	6.37	45.90	
PixelPlayer [5]	4.96	9.21	64.42	
AViS-Net [ours]	7.43	13.16	70.95	

[5] Hang Zhao, Chuang Gan, Andrew Rouditchenko, Carl Vondrick, Josh McDermott, and Antonio Torralba, "The sound of pixels," in ECCV, 2018, pp. 570–586.
[6] Andrew Rouditchenko, Josh McDermott, Antonio Torralba, et al., "Self-supervised audio-visual co-segmentation," in ICASSP. IEEE, 2019, pp. 2357–2361.
[7] Yapeng Tian, Jing Shi, Bochen Li, Zhiyao Duan, and Chenliang Xu, "Audio-visual event localization in unconstrained videos," in ECCV, 2018, pp. 247–263.

#### **Experimental results**



Following table shows the effectiveness of our novel fusion mechanism. The proposed feature fusion strategy has improved the overall performance by a considerable margin over existing methods of element-wise addition (EA) or element-wise multiplication (EM).

Fusion Strategy	SDR	SIR	SAR	Visual Segmentation Accuracy (%)
EM	4.32	7.29	6.19	56.38
EA	5.11	8.24	7.22	59.96
Concatenation	5.99	9.38	9.03	64.13
LoGAn [ours]	7.43	13.16	12.84	70.95

**Table 2**: Comparison of fusion strategies of audio and visual features (IoU threshold 75%).

#### **Visual results**





(a) (b) (c)
 Fig. - Sound-source segmentation by AViS-Net:

 (a) Partially occluded sound source,
 (b) Multiple similar sound sources,
 (c) Only one among multiple similar objects is producing sound.

#### Conclusion



- We leverage the concurrency between audio and visual modalities in an attempt to solve the joint audio-visual segmentation problem in a self-supervised manner.
- We propose a novel audio-visual fusion network, LoGAn, which captures high-level semantic information leading to superior performance.
- We are the first to address the partially occluded sound source segmentation task.
- In future, we plan to scale this task for more complex scenarios like 'in-the-wild' acoustic sources and more accurate segmentation & separation.



# **Thank You!**

Sanjoy Chowdhury - schowdhury671@gmail.com Subhrajyoti Dasgupta - subhrajyotidg@gmail.com Sudip Das - d.sudip47@gmail.com Ujjwal Bhattacharya - ujjwal@isical.ac.in