

Reinforced Curriculum Learning for Autonomous Driving

Abstract

We combined **Reinforcement Learning** (RL) [5] with **Curriculum Learning** [1] to learn an *end-to-end* driving policy for the **CARLA** autonomous driving simulator [3]:

- Five stages of curriculum learning guide training.
- **Proximal Policy Optimization** (PPO) [4] improves the agent driving policy $\pi_{\theta}(a \mid s)$, at each stage.
- The value function V(s) is decomposed into bases b and exponents e, such that: $V(s) = b \cdot 10^{e}$.
- The advantage function is normalized to preserve its sign.
- For the first time, achieved **results are consistent on all towns**.

Introduction

Autonomous Vehicles (AVs) can be built in two ways [6]:

- **Modular pipeline:** *percetion, planning, and motion control components are* build and optimized in *isolation*, towards human-designed criteria. **Error propagation** is a major issue. Intermediate representations are not optimal.
- End-to-end approach: underlying tasks are *implicitly learned*, without domain knowledge, and jointly optimized with respect to a global objective.

In practice, end-to-end AVs are implemented leveraging:

- Imitation Learning [2]: supervision by large amounts of labeled expert data, training is easy and stable, out-of-distribution data is a major issue.
- **Reinforcement Learning:** requires to interact with a driving environment, often unstable, can discover better-than-expert driving policies.



Agent Architecture

https://github.com/Luca96/carla-driving-rl-agent

¹University of Bologna (DIFA)

²University of Naples "Federico II" (DIETI)

320		action
$\left \right\rangle$	π	a _t
×	Beta	

The agent neural network processes a stack of four sub-observations o_t^i at each timestep t, whose outputs are aggregated by multiple Gated Recurrent Units.

Base-Exponent Value Decomposition

 $V_{\phi}(s_t)$ is learned by minimizing the squared loss towards the returns R_t . Since returns can be very **large numbers**, the regression can become **very unstable**.

How to avoid the "large" part of a number?

 $V = b \cdot 10^e$

Where the base $b \in [-1, 1]$, and the exponent $e \in [0, k]$. The hyperparameter k is set such that the maximum/minimum value or return does not exceed $\pm 10^k$.

What we regress are the bases b_v and exponents e_v of the values:

 $\mathcal{L}_V(\phi) \propto \|b_v - b_R\|_2^2 + \|e_v - e_R\|_2^2$

Benefits:

- The gradient norm is *always* small,
- Unbiased normalization of returns R_t ,
- Easy to implement and optimize.

Sign-preserving Advantage Normalization

The magnitude of the estimated advantages A_t affect the norm of the policy gradient in a multiplicative way. Higher norm means larger update steps, which can make the training process less stable.



By independently normalizing the negative and positive elements of the vector A_t , we reduce them to **unit scale** while keeping their **sign unchanged**: meaning that the intuitive notion of *better*- or *worse-than-average* actions a, described by the advantage function A(s, a), is still preserved.

Benefits:

- Unitary scale of the estimated advantages,
- Small norm of the policy gradients,
- Better interpretation thanks to sign preservation.

Luca Anzalone¹ Silvio Barra² Michele Nappi³

³University of Salerno (DI)

A curriculum composed of **five stages** of reinforcement learning guides the agent:

- pedestrians are placed around the map.
- positioned across the entire town.
- pedestrians and vehicles is respectively increased to 200 and 100.

Each stage can be regarded as a distinct learning environment. Furthermore, notice that all the training stages occur in the same urban scenario: Town03.

Stage-based reinforcement learning has proved to be robust and consistent on visually and topologically different towns from the CARLA simulator:

Metric	Town01	Town02	Town03	Town04	Town05	Town06	Town07	Total**
Collision	86	78	88	51	49	33	77	64
rate (%)	79	84	70	63	40	30	78	63
Speed	7.78	8.46	8.13	9.05	8.55	9.63	7.65	8.5
(km/h)	8.58	8.22	8.30	9.05	9.36	9.33	7.68	8.65
Total	1866	2530	2157	2161	1764	1951	1813	2025
reward	2135	2036	1996	1780	2190	2030	1479	1944
Waypoint	1.54	1.44	1.75	3.75	3.74	5.16	2.18	2.98
distance (m)	1.77	1.97	2.98	3.90	3.80	4.69	2.24	3.08

Table 1. First row of each metric refers to the curriculum-based agent. (**) Total results are aggregated over weather sets and traffic scenarios; for clarity some columns are missing.

- 41-48, 2009.
- Automation (ICRA), pages 4693–4700, 2018.
- policy optimization algorithms. *CoRR*, abs/1707.06347, 2017.
- 2018.



Reinforced Curriculum Learning

• Stage 1: the agent starting point is sampled from a small set of 10 locations. There are no pedestrians and vehicles. Speed limits must be respected. • Stage 2: the set of starting locations is enlarged to 50. Also, at most 50

• Stage 3: starting locations are not limited in number anymore. Change of weather and light conditions are introduced. Moreover, 50 vehicles are also

• Stage 4: data augmentations on captured camera images are enabled.

• Stage 5: along with all the previously defined rules, the number of

Results

References

[1] Yoshua Bengio, Jérôme Louradour, Ronan Collobert, and Jason Weston. Curriculum learning. In Proceedings of the 26th annual international conference on machine learning, pages

[2] F. Codevilla, M. Müller, A. López, V. Koltun, and A. Dosovitskiy. End-to-end driving via conditional imitation learning. In 2018 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and

[3] Alexey Dosovitskiy, German Ros, Felipe Codevilla, Antonio Lopez, and Vladlen Koltun. Carla: An open urban driving simulator. *arXiv preprint arXiv*:1711.03938, 2017.

[4] John Schulman, Filip Wolski, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alec Radford, and Oleg Klimov. Proximal

[5] Richard S Sutton and Andrew G Barto. *Reinforcement learning: An introduction*. MIT press,

[6] E. Yurtsever, J. Lambert, A. Carballo, and K. Takeda. A survey of autonomous driving: Common practices and emerging technologies. IEEE Access, 8:58443–58469, 2020.