# **Online Local Gaussian Process for Tensor-variate Regression:** Application to Fast Reconstruction of Limb Movements From Brain Signal

## INTRODUCTION

- Tensor Gaussian process (tensor GP) is a powerful Bayesian nonparametric regression method that flexibly models the nonlinearity of the tensorial data
- Computation load  $O(N^3)$  of GP training restricts the applicability of tensor GP to large-scale problem in practice
- Tensor online local Gaussian process (tensor OLGP) is a computationally efficient framework for tensor-variate regression

# **TENSOR GP REGRESSION**

• The training set  $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathfrak{X}_n, y_n)\}_{n=1}^N$  where the scalar output  $y_n \in \mathbb{R}$  is generated by a nonlinear function  $f(X_n)$  of the *D*-order tensor input  $\mathfrak{X}_n \in \mathbb{R}^{I_1 \times \cdots \times I_D}$  with an additive Gaussian noise  $\epsilon_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ 

$$y_n = f(\mathfrak{X}_n) + \epsilon_n$$

• The latent function of tensor GP approach can be modeled by a GP

 $f(\mathfrak{X}) \sim \mathfrak{GP}(m(\mathfrak{X}), k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X}')|\theta)$ 

• The covariance function  $k(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}')$  is product probabilistic kernel

$$k(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}') = \alpha^2 \prod_{d=1}^{D} \exp\left(\frac{KL(p(\mathbf{x}|\Omega_d^{\mathcal{X}}) \parallel q(\mathbf{x}'|\Omega_d^{\mathcal{X}'}))}{-2\beta_d^2}\right)$$

The joint predictive distribution of the latent function is Guassian

$$p(f_*, \mathbf{y}|\mathcal{X}_*, \mathcal{X}, \theta, \sigma^2)$$

The conditional predictive distribution is also Gaussian

$$p(f_*|\mathcal{X}_*, \mathcal{X}, \mathbf{y}, \theta, \sigma^2) = \mathcal{N}(m_*, \sigma_*^2)$$
$$m_* = \mathbf{k}_{\mathcal{X}}^T (\mathbf{K} + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{y}$$
$$\sigma_*^2 = k_* - \mathbf{k}_{\mathcal{X}}^T (\mathbf{K} + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{k}_{\mathcal{X}}$$

## **Fensor OLGP Regression**

- Stage 1 GP Experts Construction: Use the covariance function of tensor GP as a similarity measurement to sequentially partition the training data points into a number of small-sized experts
- **Stage 2 Local Prediction**: Find a fixed-number of local GP experts to make predictions (for given test tensorial inputs) with a Gaussian mixture

# **STAGE 1 GP EXPERTS CONSTRUCTION**

- $w_k = k(\mathcal{X}_{new}, \mathcal{C}_k)$
- 4: end for
- 6: if  $sim_t > w_{gen}$  then
- 8:
- 10:
- end if 11:
- 12:

## 13: else

- Create a new expert: 14:
- 16:
- 17: end if

# **STAGE 2 LOCAL PREDICTION**

- Input-based Searching Strategy:
- Input-output-based Searching Strategy:
  - using tensor kernel function
- Weighted Local Prediction:
- $\bar{y}_k = \mathbf{k}_k (\mathfrak{X}_*, \mathfrak{X}_k)^T (\mathbf{K}_k + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{y}_k$  as follows



Ming Hou Yali Wang Brahim Chaib-draa

# Laval University, Quebec, Canada

### Algorithm 1 GP Experts Construction

1: Input: new tensor data pair  $\{\mathcal{X}_{new}, y_{new}\}$ 2: for k = 1 to number of local experts R do Compute the similarity to the kth expert using probabilistic tensor kernel function (3):

5: Choose the nearest local expert t:  $sim_t = \max(w_k)$ Insert  $\{\mathcal{X}_{new}, y_{new}\}$  to the nearest local expert t:  $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t = [\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_t, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{new}], \mathbf{y}_t = [\mathbf{y}_t, y_{new}]$ if maximum number of data points is reached then delete another point by permutation

Update the corresponding kernel matrix  $\mathbf{K}_t$  by computing the kernel vector  $\mathbf{k}_t(\mathcal{X}_{new}, \mathcal{X}_t)$  for  $\mathcal{X}_{new}$ 

 $\mathcal{C}_{R+1} \doteq \mathcal{X}_{new}, \, \mathcal{X}_{R+1} = [\mathcal{X}_{new}], \, \mathbf{y}_{R+1} = [y_{new}]$ Initialize the new kernel matrix  $\mathbf{K}_{R+1}$ 

• Find *M* local experts having the highest similarities with  $\mathfrak{X}_*$  among all the local experts according to tensor kernel function  $w_k = k(\mathfrak{X}_*, \mathfrak{C}_k)$ 

Find its nearest local expert  $\mathcal{C}_k \doteq \{\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}_k}, y_{\mathcal{C}_k}\}$  from the input  $\mathcal{X}$ -space

Find *M* local experts  $\{\mathcal{C}_m \doteq \{\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}_m}, y_{\mathcal{C}_m}\}\}_{m=1}^M$  that are being closest to  $y_{\mathcal{C}_k}$  in *y*-space among all the local expert centers as the candidates

• Use  $w_k = k(\mathcal{X}_*, \mathcal{C}_k)$  as the weight of local expert *k*, and the prediction  $\hat{y}_*$ is the weighted combination from each local prediction

$$\hat{y}_* = rac{\sum_{k=1}^M w_k ar{y}_k}{\sum_{k=1}^M w_k}$$

## Computational Complexity

	Partation + Training	Predictio
tensor GP	$O(N^2 I^{D+1} + N^3)$	$O(NI^{D+1} +$
tensor OLGP	$\mathcal{O}(NRI^{D+1} + NSI^{D+1} + S^3)$	$\mathcal{O}(RI^{D+1} + M(SI^{D}))$

# ECOG FOOD TRACKING TASK DATASET

## • Comparison of prediction of movement on shoulder marker along *x*-axis

Data siza zu	Mathod	RMSE	NLL	Running Time (s)			
Data SIZC, Wgen	WICHIOU			Training	Testing		
	tensor GP	$3.05\pm0.16$	$7.26\pm0.57$	$1279.1\pm9.2$	$2480.6\pm16.7$		
10000, 0.5	tensor <i>x</i> -OLGP	$4.71\pm0.15$	$2.86\pm0.10$	$321.0\pm3.9$	$503.5\pm4.7$		
	tensor <i>xy</i> -OLGP	$4.39\pm0.18$	$4.53\pm0.43$	$321.0\pm3.9$	$492.4\pm8.3$		
10000 0.6	tensor <i>x</i> -OLGP	$4.56\pm0.14$	$2.66\pm0.07$	$511.1\pm3.2$	$829.9\pm6.4$		
10000, 0.0	tensor <i>xy</i> -OLGP	$3.82\pm0.15$	$4.03\pm0.41$	$511.1\pm3.2$	$822.0\pm 6.8$		
	tensor GP	$3.40\pm0.19$	$10.15\pm0.81$	$19141.9 \pm 163.5$	$39152.4 \pm 230.9$		
36000, 0.4	tensor <i>x</i> -OLGP	$5.77\pm0.19$	$3.18\pm0.12$	$2819.9\pm37.3$	$5135.2 \pm 66.3$		
	tensor <i>xy</i> -OLGP	$5.62\pm0.24$	$4.67\pm0.48$	$2819.9\pm37.3$	$4503.0\pm48.1$		

## Comparison vs. number of training samples and vs. number of local experts





## Conclusion

- A new tensor-variate local GP regression framework has been introduced, it successfully adapts the local GP modeling to the tensor input space
- Large data is efficiently processed by several small-sized GP in an online way



