# Improving filling level classification with adversarial training.

Apostolos Modas, Alessio Xompero, Ricardo Sanchez-Matilla, Pascal Frossard, Andrea Cavallaro

### **Deep Learning in the real world**

Deep learning has achieved impressive results in huge benchmarks like ImageNet. Part of its success: access to millions of training data!

Reality is different: in many real world tasks the access to training data might be very limited!

# A real world example

Human-robot collaboration in daily tasks. In such scenario the systems should be able to infer the "world" from just a few observations.

A use-case: manipulation and handovers of containers such as cups and drinking glasses.

Infer the weight of the container:

- Volume of container
- Filling level (amount of content)

### Filling level estimation

This ostensibly simple scenario can be quite challenging!

- Training data are scarce
- Can be constrained: RGB still images
- Large variability: shape, material, content, transaprency, occlusions



### **Transfer learning**



Prior work has used **transfer learning** to mitigate the limited training data issue.

Approach the problem as a classification task.

<u>However</u>: the results are a bit better than **random chance**!

# How to improve filling level classification using transfer learning?







### **Adversarial training (AT)**

During training replace the data with their **adversarial examples**.

Adversarially trained networks transfer better: improve fine-tuning performance!

### Improving filling level classification with AT



### The dataset: C-CCM

Image Crops from the CORSMAL Containers Manipulation Dataset

- **8 objects:** 4 cups + 4 drinking glasses
- 10,216 RGB images
- Filling level: 0%, 50%, 90%, "unknown"
- Filling type: water, pasta, rice









### Sensitivity analysis



Fixing the 1<sup>st</sup> layer results in the highest test accuracy.

### **Performance evaluation**







AT on the source domain (ImageNet) and fine-tune for filling level classification.

We further explore AT on the target domain.





The optimal value of  $\varepsilon$  depends on the dataset.

- **Champagne flute**: hard to cope with the narrow shape above stem
- Beer & Cocktail: shape (also above stem) that appears in the train set
- Robust fine-tuning: improves the generalization performance
- Wine glass: quite regular shape above stem
- **Cocktail**: the absence of stem in the train set causes performance drop
- Robust fine-tuning: improves the generalization performance



- **Red cup**: always predicts 99% with some opaque content (pasta, rice)
- **Green glass**: color + reflection that can harden the problem
- Robust fine-tuning: improves the generalization performance