Cube-based Video Coding Framework for Compressive Imaging

Jirayu Peetakul, Yibo Fan, Jinjia Zhou

Hosei University Graduate School of Science and Engineering Tokyo, Japan jirayu.peetakul.6u@stu.hosei.ac.jp zhou@hosei.ac.jp

Fudan University State Key Laboratory of ASIC and System Shanghai, China fanyibo@fudan.edu.cn

JST. PRESTO Japan Science and Technology Agency, Tokvo, Japan

Introduction

This work takes a fresh look at raw data by viewing it as cube made up of multiple downsampled images rather than a vector. As a result, we can view each data point as a pixel. Following that, we propose a tailored video coding framework for cube structure that includes directional 9 modes intra and inter prediction with block-matching motion estimation, transformation, quantization, and entropy coding. When compared to state-of-the-art works, this proposal provides a significant improvement in coding performance and flexibility. We evaluated coding performance using various 4K datasets, resulting in 60-65% lower bit-per-pixels while maintaining visual quality compared to state-of-the-art works.

Cube-based data structure



Figure 1: An illustrate of undetermined system

Fig 1. represents the traditional perspective we utilize compressed sensing.

However, look closely at the block-based CS procedure [1]; an entire frame is partitioned into several blocks with window size of $b \times b$. An elements in the block will be vectorized into x and iteratively linearized into element of y via each 1D kernel order of Φ. It expects to obtain several downsampled images corresponding to m as output by grouping the same element order from all blocks as shown in Fig 2



Figure 2: An example of block-based CS procedure, where the block size is set to be 2×2 , n = 4, m = 2, which generate 2 downsampled image.

Cube-based video coding framework #1

In terms of cube-based data structures, we can encode sampled data using 9 modes of intraprediction, inter-prediction, DCT transform, and quantization table. By coding sub-images in cube, sampled data can be packed more efficiently and transmitted to the receiver. It uses less bandwidth than uncoded and cutting-edge works that proposed vector-based coding algorithms. The explanation of each coding modes are shown in Fig 4. for intra-prediction and Fig 5. for inter-prediction.



Figure 3. The proposed framework architecture tailored to a data cube for compressive imaging.

[1] Lu Gan, "Block Compressed Sensing of Natural Images," 2007 15th International Conference on Digital Signal ng. 2007. pp. 403-406. doi: 10.1109/ICDSP.2007.4288604

Processing, cou7, pp. 405-405, doi: 10.1103/iCD9-co07.4268004.
[2] A. Mercat, M. Vittanen, and J. Vanne, "UVG dataset: 50/120fps 4K sequences for video codec analysis and development," Accepted to ACM Multimedia Syst. Conf., Istanbul, Turkey, June 2020.
[3] S. Mun and J. E. Fowler, "PDCM for quantized block-based compressed sensing of images," 2012 Proceedings of the 20th European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO), 2012, pp. 1424-1428.

[4] Zhang, J., Zhao, D. \& Jiang, F. Spatially directional predictive coding for block-based compressive sensing of natural

images. {\em 2013 IEEE International Conference On Image Processing}. pp. 1021-1025 (2013) [5] J. Zhou, D. Zhou, L. Guo, Y. Takeshi and S. Goto, "Measurement-domain intra prediction framework for compressively images," 2017 IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems (ISCAS), 2017, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/ISCAS.2017.8050262

10.1109/ISCAS.2017.8050262. [6] J. Petatkuj, Y. Fan and J. Zhou, "Intra Prediction-Based Measurement Coding Algorithm for Block-Based Compressive Sensing Images," in IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 56031-56040, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3068579. [7] T. T. Tran, J. Petatkul, C. D. K. Pham and J. Zhou, "Bi-directional intra prediction based measurement coding for compressive sensing images," 2020 IEEE 22101 International Workshop on Multimedia Signal Processing (MMSP), 2020, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/MMSP48831.2020.9287074.

Cube-based video coding framework #2



Figure 4: Standard 4 ×4 block directional 9 modes intra-prediction.

| | | remmateu |
|-----------|-------------------------|--|
| | | ^ |
| | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | |
| • • • | | |
| | • • • • • | |
| • • • | a y a | 0 0 |
| | 000 | |
| · · · · | 0 0 0 0 | 0 • 0 0 |
| | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 |
| (a) | (b) | (c) |
| | | Starting point, same coordinate |
| | | |
| 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 | First level candidates |
| | | Second level candidates |
| | | ∧ Third level candidates |
| | | Calented and ideter at first level |
| | | Selected candidates at first level |
| | | new coordinates for second leve |
| | | Selected candidates at second le |
| 0 0A0A | 0 0 <u>0</u> 0 <u>0</u> | new coordinates for third level |
| | | Selected candidates at third level |
| (d) | (e) | Final candidate |

Figure 5: Fast three-level hierarchical search motion estimation capable of stopping motion vectors with v equal to 3, where (a) the top-level of hierarchical motion estimation, (b) the middle-level of hierarchical motion estimation, (c) two motion vectors in the middle-level of hierarchical motion estimation are stopped, (d) the lowest-level of hierarchical motion estimation received computational resources to active motion vector, and (e) the matched candidate is returned.

Simulation results

evaluate the proposed algorithm on 4K resolution datasets such We as Beauty, ReadySetGo, and Bosphorous [2]. In this paper, we use a binary random sensing matrix with the following configuration: n = b ×b = 16 ×16 = 256 and m = 64. We use the L1-minimization method via a primal-dual interior-point method to convert CS data to image. We compare coding performance using a variety of quantitative matrices, including PSNR and SSIM and bit-per-pixel (bpp). To compare with existing works, we run an experiment in two parts; intra-prediction only in Table 1 and intraprediction with inter-prediction in Table 2.

Table 1. Intra-prediction performance comparison with the state-of-the-art on various datasets, where b×b = n = 16 ×16, m = 64, (a) binary random matrix with DPCM-SQ [3], (b) binary random matrix with SDPC-SQ [4], (c) binary random matrix with modified-binary random matrix with 3 modes intra prediction-SQ [5], (d) hadamard with 4 modes intra prediction-SQ [6], (e) sequency-ordered walshhadamard with 7 modes intra prediction-SQ [7], (f) this work only intra-prediction with Q_p = 3, (g) this work only intra-prediction with Q_p = 6, and (h) this work only intra-prediction with Q_p = 9.

| Coding methods | Beauty dataset | ReadySetGo dataset | Bosphorus dataset |
|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | PSNR / SSIM / bpp | PSNR / SSIM / bpp | PSNR / SSIM / bpp |
| (a) | 34.77 / 0.79 / 2.46 | 34.35 / 0.85 / 2.84 | 35.24 / 0.93 / 2.73 |
| (b) | 34.53 / 0.79 / 2.23 | 34.06 / 0.82 / 2.52 | 34.37 / 0.90 / 2.52 |
| (c) | 34.77 / 0.79 / 1.98 | 36.04 / 0.92 / 2.21 | 35.42 / 0.93 / 2.28 |
| (d) | 35.85 / 0.84 / 1.92 | 36.04 / 0.92 / 2.14 | 39.13 / 0.97 / 2.29 |
| (e) | 37.13 / 0.86 / 1.65 | 35.79 / 0.90 / 1.91 | 40.37 / 0.94 / 1.94 |
| (f) | 47.92 / 0.98 / 0.83 | 39.11 / 0.97 / 0.99 | 43.22 / 0.98 / 0.95 |
| (g) | 47.65 / 0.98 / 0.64 | 39.07 / 0.97 / 0.84 | 43.13 / 0.98 / 0.78 |
| (h) | 47.24 / 0.98 / 0.53 | 39.01 / 0.97 / 0.75 | 42.98 / 0.97 / 0.68 |

Table 2: Intra-prediction with 2 modes inter-prediction performance on 100 frames of various datasets, where $b \times b = n = 16 \times 16$, m = 64. The results in (a), (b), (c), represent intra-prediction with slow mode inter-prediction; (d), (e), (f), represent intra-prediction with fast mode inter-prediction, where Q_p = 3, 6, and 9, respectively

| Coding methods | Beauty dataset | ReadySetGo dataset | Bosphorus dataset |
|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | PSNR / SSIM / bpp | PSNR / SSIM / bpp | PSNR / SSIM / bpp |
| (a) | 48.79 / 0.98 / 0.4496 | 39.27 / 0.97 / 0.7151 | 43.17 / 0.98 / 0.6237 |
| (b) | 48.66 / 0.98 / 0.3078 | 39.26 / 0.97 / 0.5581 | 43.68 / 0.98 / 0.4632 |
| (c) | 48.50 / 0.98 / 0.2387 | 39.24 / 0.97 / 0.4719 | 43.62 / 0.98 / 0.3794 |
| (d) | 48.79 / 0.98 / 0.4495 | 39.27 / 0.97 / 0.7151 | 43.71 / 0.98 / 0.6245 |
| (e) | 48.67 / 0.98 / 0.3084 | 39.26 / 0.97 / 0.5581 | 43.68 / 0.98 / 0.4634 |
| (f) | 48.05 / 0.98 / 0.2387 | 39.24 / 0.97 / 0.4719 | 43.64 / 0.98 / 0.3794 |