Infant Crying Detection in Real-World Environments

Xuewen Yao¹, Megan Micheletti², Mckensey Johnson², Edison Thomaz¹, Kaya de Barbaro² ¹Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Texas at Austin, USA ²Department of Psychology, University of Texas at Austin, USA

Introduction

- Infant crying is a critical signal for communication and a known parental stressor.
- Many researchers have tried to detect crying, and it appears the models do well [1].
 - Previous crying models either were developed and evaluated using data in controlled settings or trained and evaluated on short, preparsed segments containing non-overlapping individual sound.
- Detection and classification in real-world settings is much harder than clean-lab conditions, such as in cough [2] and laughter [3] detections

Datasets

| • | We collected 780 hours of raw audio data using LENA in real-world home environments. |
|---|--|
| • | Real world: Filtered Dataset (RW-Filt) |
| | Filtered using algorithms from LENA software |
| • | Real world: Unfiltered 24h Dataset (RW-24h) |
| | Unfiltered, randomly sampled audio data fo |
| | testing only |
| • | In-lab (IL-CRIED) |
| | CRIED database (microphones over awake) |
| | infants in a cot in a quiet room) |
| | 5587 individual vocalisations of 20 healthy infants |
| | Vocalizations: infant neutral/positive, |
| | fussing, crying, and overlapping adult vocalizations |
| • | In summary, we have three audio datasets: |
| | |

| | Table 1. Crying Dataset Statistics | | | | | | |
|----------|------------------------------------|-----------|----|-------------|--|--|--|
| Dataset | Cry Hrs | Total Hrs | Ν | Ages (month | | | |
| RW-Filt | 7.9 | 66 | 24 | 1.53 - 10.8 | | | |
| RW-24h | 14.7 | 408 | 17 | 0.78 - 7.03 | | | |
| IL-CRIED | 1.26 | 14 | 20 | 1 - 4 | | | |

Contribution

- We collected and annotated a real-world infant crying dataset
 - https://homebank.talkbank.org/access/Passwor d/deBarbaroCry.html
- We developed a robust crying detection model in real-world
 - F1 score: 0.613 (Precision: 0.672, Recall: 0.552)
 - https://github.com/AgnesMayYao/Infant-Crying-Detection
- We concluded that In-lab crying dataset does not generalize to real-world situations
 - Trained on in-lab, tested on In-lab F1 score: 0.656
 - Trained on in-lab, tested on real-world F1 score: 0.236

| using | |
|---------------|--|
| 4h) ta for | Annotation At level of crying episodes according to the best practice in behavioral science Include both fussing and crying vocalization Inter-rater reliability kappa score: 0.85 (strong agreement) |
| vake | Preprocessing |
| lthy | Training Windowing: 5 second windows (with 4 second overlap) Augmentation using time masking deformation technique |
| | Testing Testing Removed all audio segments silent abored a 350 Hz threshold |
| onths) | Windowing: 5 second windows (with 4 second overlap) |

Crying Detection Models and Results

Pre-processing

Raw Audio

- SVM with acoustic features (AF)
 - 34 acoustic features
 - SVM classifier with RBF kernel
- End-to-end CNN model (CNN)
- Modified AlexNet with mel-scaled spectrograms as input
- SVM with deep spectrum and acoustic features (DSF + AF)
 - Combination of AF and CNN
 - Last hidden layer of CNN (size 1000) used as deep spectrum features

| Train on RW-Filt | F1 | Precision | Recall | |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| AF | $0.515(\pm 0.185)$ | $0.42(\pm 0.225)$ | $0.847(\pm 0.140)$ | |
| CNN | $0.620(\pm 0.182)$ | $0.505(\pm 0.206)$ | 0.873(±0.110) | |
| DSF + AF | 0.615(±0.170) | 0.521(±0.191) | $0.820(\pm 0.147)$ | |
| VGGish | $0.574(\pm 0.204)$ | $0.445(\pm 0.216)$ | $0.936(\pm 0.062)$ | |
| Train on IL-CRIED | Results on IL-CRIED (LOPO) | | | |
| DSF + AF | 0.656(±0.191) | 0.578(±0.255) | 0.808(±0.128) | |

- DSF + AF is the best performing model for real-world datasets.
- DSF + AF reaches F1 score 0.613 when trained and tested on real-world datasets.
- End-to-end CNN training contributed most substantially to the DSF + AF model's performance.

Discussion

- Real-world vs. In-lab training data • Datasets collected in
 - controlled environments do not represent the full complexity of real-world environments
 - Models trained on in-lab data are of limited use in the context of the real-world crying detection task
- We found DSF + AF performed substantially better than LENA's cry classifier in assessment scenarios important to developmental researchers [5].

Acknowledgements

We thank Katrin D. Bartl-Pokorny, Christa Einspieler, Peter B. Marschik, Florian B. Pokorny, and Dajie Zhang of Medical University of Graz, Austria for providing us with CRIED database.

References

[1]. C. Ji, T. B. Mudiyanselage, Y. Gao, and Y. Pan, "A review of infant cry analysis and classification," EURASIP Journal on Audio, Speech, and Music Processing, no. 8, 2021. [2]. D. Liaqat, S. Liaqat, J. L. Chen, T. Sedaghat, M. Gabel, F. Rudzicz, and E. de Lara, "Coughwatch: Real-world cough detection using smartwatches," in ICASSP 2021, 2021, pp.8333-8337. [3]. J. Gillick, W. Deng, K. Ryokai, and D. Bamman, "Robust Laughter Detection in Noisy Environments," in Proc. Interspeech 2021, 2021, pp. 2481–2485. [4]. P. Marschik, F. Pokorny, R. Peharz, D. Zhang, J. O'Muircheartaigh, H. Roeyers, S. B "olte, A. Spittle, B. Urlesberger, B. Schuller, L. Poustka, S. Ozonoff, F. Pernkopf, T. Pock, K. Tammimies, C. Enzinger, M. Krieber, I. Tomantschger, K. Bartl-Pokorny, J. Sigafoos, L. Roche, G. Esposito, M. Gugatschka, K. Nielsen-Saines, C. Einspieler, W. Kaufmann, and The BEE-PRI Study Group, "A novel way to measure and predict development: A heuristic approach to facilitate the early detection of neurodevelopmental disorders," Current Neurology and Neuroscience Reports, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 43, Apr 2017. [5]. M. Micheletti, X. Yao, M. Johnson, and K. de Barbaro, "Validating a Model to Detect Infant Crying from Naturalistic Audio," Behavior Research Methods (In Review).





 $0.613(\pm 0.184)$ $0.672(\pm 0.219)$ $0.552(\pm 0.178)$ $0.543(\pm 0.204)$ $0.489(\pm 0.228)$ $0.652(\pm 0.182)$ **Results on RW-24h**

 $0.236(\pm 0.122)$ $0.143(\pm 0.084)$ $0.851(\pm 0.162)$