

'HE HONG KONG **YTECHNIC UNIVERSITY** 港理工大學

Robust Speaker Verification Using Population-based Data Augmentation

Weiwei LIN, Man-Wai MAK

Introduction

- Data Augmentation is an important procedure in the training of speaker embedding networks.
- However, we often use a set of predefined DA parameters whose values were intuitively set instead of optimally determined.
- In this paper, we propose to use population-based learning to automatically learn DA parameters.

Methodology

PBA learns a schedule for changing the DA parameters. It involves the following steps:

- Initialization: The augmentation parameters for each model in a population are randomly initialized with some predefined ranges.
- Optimization: The network parameters of each model are optimized independently (using stochastic gradient descent (SGD) on the augmented data.)
- Evaluation: Each of the models in the population is evaluated on a validation set.
- Exploitation: The network parameters of the models in the bottom 25% of the ranked list are replaced by those in the top 25%.
- Exploration: Apply the "explore" function to the augmentation parameters.

DA

DA

Training procedures Voxceleb1 dev and Voxceleb2 dev set. VOiCES-19 dev was used as the validation set.

Adding noise, babble, and music from MUSAN and reverberation from the RIR dataset to speech. Time and frequency masking is also applied

Netw

X-vect

X-vect

Dense

Dense

References

1. Ho, Daniel, et al. "Population based augmentation: Efficient learning of augmentation policy schedules." International Conference on Machine Learning. PMLR, 2019.

Department of Electronic and Information Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University



"Exploit" selects the top-ranked models and DA params.

"Explore" randomly perturbs the DA params.

Input acoustic features

- 40-dimensional filter bank features with a frame length of 25ms at 10ms shift;
- using Kaldi energy-based voice activity detection (VAD) to remove silence frames;
- using small chunks of acoustic sequences with a chunk length of 400 frames for training.

Comparison with Kaldi Aug.

ork	Aug	EER
tor	Kaldi+SpecAug	6.87%
tor	PBA	4.82%
eNet121	Kaldi+SpecAug	5.53%
eNet121	PBA	3.98%

Ablation Study

Without	EER
None (using all aug.)	3.98%
Additive noise	4.42%
Reverb	4.63%
Time masking	4.03%
Freq masking	3.88%

Discussions

- the X-vector networks.
- augmentation.
- other augmentation operations.

Input: mini-batch \mathcal{X} , parameters \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} is a list of augmentation hyperparameters comprising (trans, prob, mag) $\mathcal{L} = [$ ⊳ Empty List for x in \mathcal{X} do $x = \text{sample}_{\text{segment}}(x, T)$ segment with duration T

for (trans, prob, mag) in \mathcal{H} do if random(0, 1) < prob then z = trans(x, mag) $\mathcal{L} = \operatorname{append}(\mathcal{L}, z)$ else $\mathcal{L} = \operatorname{append}(\mathcal{L}, x)$ end if end for end for **Return** *L*

Algorithm 2 PBA "explore" function for magnitude parameters. Magnitude parameters can be any from 0 to 9 inclusive. Input: MagParams \mathcal{M} $\triangleright \mathcal{M}$ is a list of magnitude parameters $\mathcal{M}_{new} = [] > Initialize an empty list for new parameters$ for m in \mathcal{M} do **if** random(0, 1) < 0.2 **then** $m_{\text{new}} = \text{random_int}(0, 9)$ \triangleright resample a new parameter else ▷ Randomly choose an $inc = random_int(0, 3)$ increment value **if** random(0, 1) < 0.5 **then** $m_{\text{new}} = m + inc$ > Increase the aug. parameter else $m_{\text{new}} = m - inc \triangleright$ Decrease the aug. parameter end if end if \triangleright Clip m_{new} within [0, 9] $m_{\text{new}} = \max\{0, m_{\text{new}}\}$ \triangleright Clip m_{new} within [0, 9]

```
m_{\text{new}} = \min\{m_{\text{new}}, 9\}
        \mathcal{M}_{\text{new}} = \text{append}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{new}}, m_{\text{new}})
 end for
Return \mathcal{M}_{new}
```

Algorithm 1 Applying data augmentation to a mini-batch

⊳ Sample a random

Deeper networks, such as DenseNet121, achieve much better performance than

For both X-vector and DenseNet121, PBA obtains better performance than Kaldi

Ablation study shows that reverberation is the most important augmentation and frequency mask is the least important augmentation. Removing frequency masking actually improves the performance. This could be that it does not work well with

^{2.} Snyder, David, et al. "X-vectors: Robust dnn embeddings for speaker recognition." 2018 IEEE international conference on acoustics, speech and signal processing (ICASSP). IEEE, 2018.