



Sparse Modeling of The Early Part of Noisy Room Impulse Responses with Sparse Bayesian Learning

- Maozhong Fu^{1,2}, Jesper Rindom Jensen², Yuhan Li^{1,2}, Mads Græsbøll Christensen²
- ¹Xiamen University
 - ²Aalborg University
- 🛗 May 2022



1. Background

- 2. Proposed Method
- 3. Results

4. Conclusions

1. Background

- A RIR in reverberation environment consists of
 - Direct Sound
 - Early Reflections
 - Late Reflections
- The early part (Direct Sound + Early Reflections) of a RIR is relatively sparse.
- Modeling of the early part of RIRs have many applications, such as
 - Room Geometry Reconstruction
 - Augmented and Virtual Reality
 - Dereverberation



- A RIR in reverberation environment consists of
 - Direct Sound
 - Early Reflections
 - Late Reflections
- The early part (Direct Sound + Early Reflections) of a RIR is relatively sparse.
- Modeling of the early part of RIRs have many applications, such as
 - Room Geometry Reconstruction
 - Augmented and Virtual Reality
 - Dereverberation



- A RIR in reverberation environment consists of
 - Direct Sound
 - Early Reflections
 - Late Reflections
- The early part (Direct Sound + Early Reflections) of a RIR is relatively sparse.
- Modeling of the early part of RIRs have many applications, such as
 - Room Geometry Reconstruction
 - Augmented and Virtual Reality
 - Dereverberation





*l*₁-regularization technology (LASSO) can be used to reduce the coefficient number of the early
 part of RIRs.

LASSO

- Being sensitive to regularization parameters and requiring finding optimal regularization parameters.
 - Grid-search is **computational heavy**.
 - Cross-validation requires training data.

- Being insensitive to user parameters.
- Hyper-parameters are **learned** adaptively from data.
- Being computationally efficient and sparse-promoting.



• ℓ_1 -regularization technology (LASSO) can be used to reduce the coefficient number of the early part of RIRs.

LASSO

- Being sensitive to regularization parameters and requiring finding optimal regularization parameters.
 - Grid-search is **computational heavy**.
 - Cross-validation requires training data.

- Being insensitive to user parameters.
- Hyper-parameters are **learned** adaptively from data.
- Being computationally efficient and sparse-promoting.



• ℓ_1 -regularization technology (LASSO) can be used to reduce the coefficient number of the early part of RIRs.

LASSO

- Being **sensitive** to regularization parameters and requiring finding optimal regularization parameters.
 - Grid-search is **computational heavy**.
 - Cross-validation requires training data.

- Being insensitive to user parameters.
- Hyper-parameters are **learned** adaptively from data.
- Being computationally efficient and sparse-promoting.



• ℓ_1 -regularization technology (LASSO) can be used to reduce the coefficient number of the early part of RIRs.

LASSO

- Being **sensitive** to regularization parameters and requiring finding optimal regularization parameters.
 - Grid-search is **computational heavy**.
 - Cross-validation requires training data.

- Being **insensitive** to user parameters.
- Hyper-parameters are **learned** adaptively from data.
- Being computationally efficient and sparse-promoting.

2. Proposed Method

• Discretizing the RIR measurements in the time-delay domain:



Converting to matrix form:



• Discretizing the RIR measurements in the time-delay domain:



• Converting to matrix form:





- Typical Scene: the early part of a RIR contains very few impulse responses.
- Scene is sparse i.e., x is mostly zeros.



- Typical Scene: the early part of a RIR contains very few impulse responses.
- Scene is **sparse** i.e., **x** is mostly zeros.



- Problem 1: Given y, how to recover x with the a priori that x is sparse?
- Problem 2: If the source signal is unknown, how to determine Φ?



- Problem 1: Given y, how to recover x with the a priori that x is sparse?
- Problem 2: If the source signal is unknown, how to determine Φ ?

• **Prior:** SBL assumes the *m*-th component of \mathbf{x} follows a zero-mean Gaussian distribution with variance γ_m .



• Likelihood: SBL assumes the noise follows a zero-mean Gaussian distribution with variance λ .

• **Prior:** SBL assumes the *m*-th component of \mathbf{x} follows a zero-mean Gaussian distribution with variance γ_m .



• Likelihood: SBL assumes the noise follows a zero-mean Gaussian distribution with variance λ .









• Source Signal: Assume the source signal is a Gaussian-modulated pulse.



• **Direct Sound:** Assume the direct sound has the highest amplitude and is the time-delayed and scaled version of the source signal.



• **Source Signal:** Assume the source signal is a Gaussian-modulated pulse.



• **Direct Sound:** Assume the direct sound has the highest amplitude and is the time-delayed and scaled version of the source signal.



Determine Φ - Implement



- The parameters of the Gaussian-modulated pulse can be estimated by minimizing the **mean** square error between the measured and reconstructed direct sound.
- The dictionary can be built with the various time-delayed source signal.



• The direct sound can be extracted by using a **rectangle window** around the peak.



- The parameters of the Gaussian-modulated pulse can be estimated by minimizing the **mean square error** between the measured and reconstructed direct sound.
- The dictionary can be built with the various time-delayed source signal.







- The parameters of the Gaussian-modulated pulse can be estimated by minimizing the **mean square error** between the measured and reconstructed direct sound.
- The dictionary can be built with the various **time-delayed** source signal.



3. Results



• For the simulated RIR measurements¹, RIR-SBL shows less noise sensitiveness to the noise than RIR-LASSO in the time interval [3,7] ms.

¹The simulated noiseless RIR measurements are generated by the image method using the gpuRIR library.



• The reconstruct RIR measurements of RIR-SBL is sparser than that of RIR-LASSO.



• RIR-SBL provides an improvement in the output SNR compared to RIR-LASSO.



• RIR-SBL requires much less computation than RIR-LASSO.





• For the real-world RIR measurements², RIR-SBL performs better in noise reduction.

²The real-world RIR measurements are taken from the acoustic characterization of environments (ACE) database.

Real-World Data Processing



• RIR-SBL is more sparse-promoting than RIR-LASSO in real-world RIR processing.

4. Conclusions

• The proposed RIR-SBL works well in **noisy conditions** and can improve the output SNR.

- RIR-SBL is computationally efficient.
- RIR-SBL can effectively exploit the sparse structure of the early part of RIRs to promote the sparsity of the model.

- The proposed RIR-SBL works well in **noisy conditions** and can improve the output SNR.
- RIR-SBL is computationally efficient.
- RIR-SBL can effectively exploit the sparse structure of the early part of RIRs to promote the sparsity of the model.

- The proposed RIR-SBL works well in **noisy conditions** and can improve the output SNR.
- RIR-SBL is computationally efficient.
- RIR-SBL can effectively exploit the sparse structure of the early part of RIRs to promote the **sparsity** of the model.

Thank You!