# Entrainment Analysis for Assessment of Autistic Speech Prosody Using Bottleneck Features of Deep Neural Network

Keiko Ochi(Kyoto University), Nobutaka Ono (Tokyo Metropolitan University), Keiho Owada, Miho Kuroda , Shigeki Sagayama (University of Tokyo), Hidenori Yamasue (University of Tokyo /Hamamatsu University School of Medicine)

#### What is autism spectrum disorder (ASD)?

#### \*Deficits in social communication and interactions

- (facial expressions, eye gazes, pragmatics, speech prosody)
- 1/54 children [Maenner2020+]
- Problems of current assessment:

#### -Subjective rating

- -Difficult to use repeatedly
- E.g., the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS)



 Needs for repeated assessment to develop of novel treatment/medication

#### Quantification of speech in people with ASD

not ASD

Degree of autistic traits

- Why speech signals?
   -easy to obtain
   -can be utilized in automated diagnosis/assessment
- In conversational speeches in speakers with ASD:
   longer turn-taking gaps and pausing [Heeman+2010] [Bone+2016]
- less global entrainment with their interlocutors in terms of
- speech rate [Wynn+2018]
- F0/intensity entrainment [Ochi+2019]
- However, the local entrainment of people with ASD is still unclear.



### Purpose of this study

Quantify the local entrainment for automated assessment

- entrainment between patients and their interlocutors
- aiming at a novel, easy-to-use assessment method for ASD,
   using conversations in comil structured interview.
- using conversations in semi-structured interviews

   to control the contents of the dialogues.
- Analyzing prosodic/acoustic features
- just before and after turn-takings

# Related work: neural entrainment distance [Nasir+'18]

Train an hourglass-shaped DNN





• Regard the distance between the two bottleneck features as the degree of entrainment.

# Proposed method for automatic assessment

- Quantify entrainment based on [Nasir+'18]
   Changes: input features according to the task
- Pre-train the DNN using a task-nonlimited
- corpus without considering ASD.
- Fine-tune with semi-structural conversation

   Use data from people with typical development (TD) (control group)
   Fit the DNN to the task
- Access ASD by bottleneck feature vectors
- Embedding the local entrainment embedding

#### Speech datasets

- Corpus of Everyday Japanese Conversation (CEJC) (monitor version)
- 50-hour audio data
- 118 conversational situations among 3-9 people
- Semistructured-interview conversation dataset
- ADOS Module 4 administration
- as a part of a clinical trial of medicine
- (Oxytocin nasal spray) in the hospital of the University of Tokyo
- before the medication of oxytocin/placebo
- Activity 7 (Questions and answers about emotion)
- ▶ 82 recordings (101-389 sec)
- ▶ Participants: 65 male adults with ASD and 17 controls

#### 



#### •DNN configuration for entrainment qualification:

- -5 fully connected layers (316-64-16-64-317)
- -Pre-training: 39152 samples (90% for training, 10% for validation)
- Fine-tuning: 4438 samples (95% for training, 5% for validation)
- Automated assessment of ASD:
- Estimate ADOS scores
- Use support vector regression (SVR)
- Input features: the centroid and SD of the difference of
- the bottleneck feature vector
- Select feature dimensions by forward feature selection (FFS) method
   by adding the speech features of our previous study



# Results

rge corp

Table: Correlations and mean absolute error (MAE) (shown in parentheses) between the estimated and the observed ADOS score in the leave-one-out cross-validation

Method	Reciprocity facial/verbal interaction	Communication Nonverbal interaction	Repetition Repeating a certain behaviors
Baseline	0.59 (1.23)	0.49 (0.96)	0.18 (1.23)
Without fine-tuning	0.60 (1.23)	0.59 (0.90)	0.49 (0.61)
Proposed (with fine-tuning)	0.70 (1.18)	0.62 (0.84)	0.49 (0.61)

Proposed features provided the best performance for every three categories of ADOS score.

### Discussions and conclusions

- High assessment performance with local entrainment
- + Successfully estimated score of the highest (most autistic) participant
- Overestimated score of the lowest-score (less autistic) participant
- Speech prosody was rated high by human
- Other sub-items (visual information) were rated low
- ⇒The prosodic characteristics affected the estimation.
- Future works:
- applying the automatic evaluation to the therapies such as computer-assisted social skill training