An error correction scheme for improved air-tissue boundary in real-time MRI video for speech production

Introduction

Air-tissue boundary (ATB)

Air-Tissue Boundary (ATB) segmentation is a common pre-processing step before rtMRI videos are applied in different domains like text-to-speech synthesis, speaker verification, and visual augmentation for synthesized articulatory videos.

Figure illustrates an rtMRI frame, and the corresponding ATB, including 3 contours: contour1 (C1), contour2 (C2) and contour3 (C3), & 5 points: upper lip (UL), lower lip (LL), tongue base (TB), velum (VEL), glottis begin (GLTB).



Motivation:

- ▶ 3D CNN ^[1] gives best performance in literature. But global Dynamic Time Warping (DTW) distance is used as evalulation metric, which might not capture regional errors
- In this work, we analyze such errors, & propose a novel detection and correction scheme
- **USC-TIMIT** is used in this work:
- rtMRI videos of the upper airway in the mid-sagittal plane
- ► 5 female (F1, F2, F3, F4, F5) and 5 male (M1, M2, M3, M4, M5) subject, each speaking 460 sentences from MOCHA-TIMIT database
- Frame rate is 23.18 frames/sec & spatial resolution is 68×68 (pixel dimension of 2.9mm \times 2.9mm)
- ▲ 3D-CNN trained on 90 videos (9 videos from each subject)
- ATBs predicted on 100 videos (10 from each subject), not seen in training, are used for error detection and correction.

Error Types





(b)



(c)





- (g) (h) (f) Contour1 errors observed to be of 2 types - incomplete contours, where VEL portion is missing (Fig. e), & frame errors, where the entire C1 has defects (Fig. f)
 - Contour2 errors observed to be of 2 types TB error, where TB dip is not predicted properly (Fig. g), & frame error, where entire frame is wrongly predicted (Fig. h)





Anwesha Roy, Varun Belagali, Prasanta Kumar Ghosh

Electrical Engineering, Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India-560 012





		S	Err		
Contour1	Error type Incomplete Frame TB Frame	EVEL, VELrDTW	Detection method Deviation from mean VEL, VEL to pharyngeal wall dist LL to TB slope, LL to TB distance, Combined No. of points	Correction method Interpolation + Appending Interpolation Otsu thresholding + Contour warping Interpolation	 C1 Correction: For detected error frames, C1 is group contours. For incomplete C1 errors, VEL para For C1 frame errors, the interpola C2 Correction: For all detected C2 frame errors, of For frames with TB error, otsu three
		Analysi	tongue, to find the corrected TE gradient based fashion.		
 Analys MAT fram 	sis: LAB GUI is	developed to observ	e both annotation and prediction deviators a lot from	ction in each frame. A	Table: Mean \pm std of evaluation metri

- frame is labelled as enoneous if the prediction deviates a lot normalinotation, in any region ► For C1, mean ± std DTW distance between annotated and predicted contour for error frames is 2.15 ± 1.48 pixels, and 1.11 ± 0.18 pixels for correct frames.
- ► For C2, mean ± std DTW distance for error frames is 3.61 ± 3.49 pixels and for correct frames it is 1.92 ± 1.89 pixels.
- Even though mean DTW distance is higher for error frames, range of DTW distance of erroneous and correct frames overlap.
- Global DTW distance does not reflect regional errors. Hence, new region-specific metrics metrics are proposed.

Proposed evaluation metrics:

- ► Contour1:
 - ► VELrDTW: DTW dist. between annotated & predicted C1 around the VEL region, taking 30% of total number of points of C1 on the pharyngeal wall side.
- EVEL: Euclidean distance between predicted and annotated VEL point ► Contour2:
 - **TBrDTW**: TBrDTW distance: DTW dist. between annotated & predicted C2 in region between LL and uppermost tongue point.
 - **ETB**: Euclidean distance between predicted and annotated TB point

Error Detection

- C1 Detection: Threshold applied on:
 - Euclidean distance between VEL and nearest point on C3 (fixed for a subject) in a frame
- Euclidean distance between VEL in a frame and mean VEL across all frames in the video

C2 Detection: Threshold applied on:

- ► Number of points in C2 (for frame error)
- Slope of the line joining LL and TB
- Euclidean distance between LL and TB

Table: Mean \pm std of evaluation metrics (in pixels) before and after correction for C1 and C2										
Evaluation Metric	EVEL	VELrDTW	C1 DTW	ETB	TBrDTW	C2 DTW				
Pre-Correction	8.10 ± 2.33	4.12 ± 1.56	2.04 ± 1.19	11.31 ± 3.40	4.26 ± 1.26	2.06 ± 1.22				
Post-Correction	3.09 ± 1.34	1.59 ± 0.43	1.13 ± 0.19	3.64 ± 2.71	3.05 ± 1.06	1.98 ± 1.32				

- ▲ Gloabl DTW also shows slight improvement for C1 & C2.







(d)

- the quality of the predicted ATBs.
- Future work : Robust neural network approaches using region specific loss functions, which target specific problems in particular contour regions.

[1] R. Mannem, et. al., "Air-tissue boundary segmentation in real time magnetic resonance imaging video using 3-d convolutional neural network." INTERSPEECH 2020.

anwesharoy@iisc.ac.in, varunbelagali98@gmail.com, prasantg@iisc.ac.in





or Correction

generated by linear interpolation using neighbouring frame

art of interpolated C1 is appended to existing contour ated contour is taken completely.

entire C2 is generated by linear interpolation. resholding is used in 15 X 20 patch, between LL and C2 is adjusted in TB dip region by contour warping in a

Results

▲ For C1, EVEL decreases by 61.8% after correction, whereas VELrDTW improves by 61.4%. ▲ For C2, ETB and TBrDTW, improve by 67.8% and 28.4% respectively, after correction.



- \checkmark Fig. (a), (b) and (c) illustrate manual annotation, incomplete C1 error and corresponding corrected contour.
- Fig. (d), (e) and (f) illustrate manual annotation, TB dip error and corresponding corrected contour, where yellow point represents TB.

Conclusion

Automatic methods are proposed to detect and correct regional errors in 3D-CNN. New regional evaluation metrics are also proposed for evaluation of

References