ti> interdigital.

Learned Disentangled Latent Representations for Scalable Image Coding for Humans and Machines

Ezgi Ozyilkan †,*,¶ , Mateen Ulha
q ‡,*,¶ , Hyomin Choi *, Fabien Racap
é *

¶ Joint first authors.

† Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering, New York University
 ‡ School of Engineering Science, Simon Fraser University
 * InterDigital – Emerging Technologies Lab

ezgi.ozyilkan@nyu.edu, mulhaq@sfu.ca,
{hyomin.choi, fabien.racape}@interdigital.com

This work was done while E. Ozyilkan and M. Ulhaq were interns at InterDigital.

Contents

- 1. Introduction to Scalable Image Compression
- 2. Related Work
- 3. Proposed Framework and Architecture
- 4. Experiments and Results
- 5. Information-Theoretic Insights into Information Flow
- 6. Final Remarks

Traditional Transform Coding: JPEG in a nutshell



Figure adapted from [J. Ballé et al.], "End-to-end optimized image compression," ICLR, 2017.

Nonlinear Transform Coding



Figure adapted from [J. Ballé et al.], "End-to-end optimized image compression," ICLR, 2017.

Multi-Task Image Coding

ip interdigital.

Split transform domain latent space for machine analytics. "<u>V</u>ideo <u>C</u>oding for <u>M</u>achines" (VCM).



 $\Rightarrow \quad \text{Improvement in bitrate} \\ \text{for analytics tasks} \\ \text{without reduction in accuracy.}$

Figure courtesy of [H. Choi et al.], "Scalable Image Coding for Humans and Machines," IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, 2022.



Scalable Image Compression

interdigital.

Reconstruction (for humans)



Prior Work

\$≥ interdigital.

Chamain et al.



Choi et al.

 $x \rightarrow$

Proposed



(b)

 \hat{s}

"Base" from x, s and "enhancement" only from x.

(c)

 \hat{s}

(a) "Enhancement" is residual error in reconstructing from "base".

"Base" and "enhancement" obtained from same transform on x.

Transmitted bitstreams are highlighted.

[Chamin et al.], "End-to-end optimized image compression for machines, a study," *DCC*, 2021. [Choi et al.], "Scalable Image Coding for Humans and Machines," *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 2022.

Prior Work: Choi et al.



Figure adapted from [H. Choi et al.], "Scalable Image Coding for Humans and Machines," IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, 2022.

1> interdigital.

8

Idea: Learned Disentangled Latent Spaces



Motivation is to have little (or none!) excess rate: $I(\boldsymbol{y}_1; \boldsymbol{y}_2) \approx 0$.

Proposed approach is based on variational inference.

 $p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{y}_1, \boldsymbol{y}_2) = p(\boldsymbol{y}_1) p(\boldsymbol{y}_2 \mid \boldsymbol{y}_1) p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{y}_1, \boldsymbol{y}_2) p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{s} \mid \boldsymbol{y}_1, \boldsymbol{y}_2, \boldsymbol{x})$ by chain rule $= p(\boldsymbol{y}_1) p(\boldsymbol{y}_2) p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{y}_1, \boldsymbol{y}_2) p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{s} \mid \boldsymbol{y}_1)$ since $\boldsymbol{y}_1 \perp \boldsymbol{y}_2$ and $(\boldsymbol{s} \perp \boldsymbol{y}_2) \mid \boldsymbol{y}_1$

The data likelihood is given by integrating:

$$p_{ heta}(oldsymbol{x},oldsymbol{s}) = \iint p_{ heta}(oldsymbol{x},oldsymbol{s},oldsymbol{y}_1,oldsymbol{y}_2) \; doldsymbol{y}_1doldsymbol{y}_2$$

Unfortunately, intractable!



Overcoming Intractability

ໍ່ໄ

Introduce variational posterior.



1> interdigital.



Impose above factorization by system model.

Loss function construction turns out to be very similar to Ballé et al. (2018).

We seek to minimize Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence between q_{ϕ}, p_{θ} .

[J. Ballé et al.], "Variational Image Compression with a Scale Hyperprior," ICLR, 2018.



Minimize KL between q_{ϕ}, p_{θ} over dataset of $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{s}$:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{s}\sim p(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{s})} \left[D_{\mathrm{KL}} \left(q_{\phi}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_{1}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_{2} \mid \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{s}) \parallel p_{\theta}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_{1}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_{2} \mid \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{s}) \right) \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{s}\sim p(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{s})} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_{1},\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_{2}\sim q_{\phi}} \left[\left(\overbrace{\log q_{\phi}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_{1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{s}; \phi_{s})}^{0} + \overbrace{\log q_{\phi}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_{2} \mid \boldsymbol{x}; \phi_{x})}^{0} \right) \right]$$

$$- \left(\underbrace{\log p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_{1}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_{2}; \theta_{x})}_{D_{\boldsymbol{x}}} + \underbrace{\log p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{s} \mid \tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_{1}; \theta_{s})}_{D_{\boldsymbol{s}}} + \underbrace{\log p(\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_{1})}_{R_{y_{1}}} + \underbrace{\log p(\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_{2})}_{R_{y_{2}}} \right) \right] + \text{const.}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = R_{\boldsymbol{y}_{1}} + R_{\boldsymbol{y}_{2}} + \lambda \cdot D_{\boldsymbol{x}} + \gamma \cdot D_{\boldsymbol{s}}$$

Proposed Architecture



"Resize" to match latent dimensions.



Proposed Architecture



	Encoder				Decoder			
	$ $ $g_{e,s}$		$g_{e,oldsymbol{x}}$		$g_{d,oldsymbol{s}}$		$g_{d,oldsymbol{x}}$	
No.	Layer	In/Out	Layer	In/Out	Layer	In/Out	Layer	In/Out
$\begin{array}{c}1\\2\\3\\4\end{array}$	conv5s1 conv5s1 conv5s2	$\begin{array}{c} C_s + 3/N \\ N/N \\ N/M_1 \end{array}$	conv5s2 conv5s2 conv5s2 conv5s2	$3/N \\ N/N \\ N/N \\ N/M_2$	deconv5s1 deconv5s1 deconv5s2	$\frac{M_1/N}{N/N}\\ N/C_s$	deconv5s2 deconv5s2 deconv5s2 deconv5s2	M/N N/N N/N N/N N/3

Experimental Setup

of channels for y_1 # of channels for y_2 # of hyperprior blocks



- Various architecture configurations for the tuple (M_1, M_2, H) .
- Train on Vimeo-90K dataset with "distortion" computed using mean-squared error (MSE).
- Evaluate object detection on COCO 2014 validation dataset using mAP (IoU=0.5).
- Evaluate input reconstruction on Kodak dataset using MSE and MS-SSIM.
- Benchmark performance in comparison with:
 - Standard codecs such as HEVC, VVC \Rightarrow do not support task-scalability!
 - Comparative model (*without* PixelCNN-style autoregression) from Choi et al.

[HEVC] http://hevc.hhi.fraunhofer.de/svn/svn_HEVCSoftware/tags/HM-16.20+SCM-8.8/
[VVC] https://vcgit.hhi.fraunhofer.de/jvet/VVCSoftware_VTM/-/tags/VTM-12.3/
[Vimeo-90K] Xue et al. "Video Enhancement with Task-Oriented Flow," *IJCV*, 2019.
[COCO 2014] T.-Y. Lin et al., "Microsoft COCO: Common objects in context," 2014.
[Kodak] http://r0k.us/graphics/kodak/
[MS-SSIM] Z. Wang et al., "Multiscale structural similarity for image quality assessment," *Asilomar Conf. Signals, Systems, and Computers*, 2003.
[H. Choi et al.] "Scalable Image Coding for Humans and Machines," *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 2022.
[PixelCNN] Oord et al., "Pixel Recurrent Neural Networks," *PMLR*, 2016.

Performance Across Various Metrics





Baseline accuracy of YOLOv3 on COCO 2014 val, including JPEG-compressed images, is 55.85% mAP at 4.80 bpp.

Quick Recap of Entropy and Mutual Information



Disentanglement





Redundancy $\propto I(\boldsymbol{y}_1; \boldsymbol{y}_2)$ Shaded area = $H(\boldsymbol{y}_1, \boldsymbol{y}_2)$ Practical rate cost = $H(\boldsymbol{y}_1) + H(\boldsymbol{y}_2)$

Redundancy





Codec entropy rates (in bits per pixel) measured at 2% loss threshold in mAP.

Bounds on redundancy in enhancement bitstream under respective entropy models.



top-8 channels ordered by rate

1> interdigital.

 y_1 = base (for machine vision) y_2 = enhancement (for humans)

Conclusion and Future Work

i

- DNN-based image codec with a new variational formulation.
 - Offers latent-space scalability for human and machine tasks.
 - New way of disentangling the learned latent representations.
- Significant bit reductions at the base layer.
- Needs further investigation about improving reconstruction quality while maintaining the analytics performance.



Thank you