Reducing the communication and computational cost of random Fourier features Kernel LMS in diffusion networks

Daniel G. Tiglea\*, Renato Candido\*, Luis A. Azpicueta-Ruiz<sup>†</sup>, and Magno T. M. Silva\*

\*Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil <sup>†</sup>Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, Spain

June 2023



2 Proposed censoring mechanism





# Introduction & Problem Formulation



•  $\mathcal{N}_k$ : neighborhood

1

- $d_k$ : desired signal
- $u_k$ : input signal

# Introduction & Problem Formulation



 $f_{\rm o}[\cdot] \rightarrow$  nonlinear function (typically unknown *a priori*)

 $v_k(n) \rightarrow \text{measurement noise}$ 

2023 International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing

1

# Goal: estimation of $f_{\mathrm{o}}[\cdot]$ in a distributed manner

- signal measurement and processing done locally (adaptation)
- nodes communicate to form a global estimate (combination)

## Goal: estimation of $f_{o}[\cdot]$ in a distributed manner

- signal measurement and processing done locally (adaptation)
- nodes communicate to form a global estimate (combination)

#### Advantages

- Robustness to communication link failure
- Flexibility
- Scalability

## Random Fourier Features

Nonlinear mapping using Random Fourier Features<sup>1</sup>:

$$\mathbb{R}^{M} \qquad \mathbb{R}^{D}, \ D > M$$
$$\mathbf{u}_{k}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} u_{k}(n) \\ u_{k}(n-1) \\ \vdots \\ u_{k}(n-M+1) \end{bmatrix} \qquad \underbrace{\mathsf{mapping}}_{k} \mathbf{z}_{k}(n) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{D}} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{k}(n) + b_{1}) \\ \cos(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{k}(n) + b_{2}) \\ \vdots \\ \cos(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{D}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{k}(n) + b_{D}) \end{bmatrix}$$

•  $\omega_i$  drawn from a multivariate Gaussian distribution w/ zero mean and covariance matrix  $\frac{\mathbf{I}}{\sigma^2}$ 

•  $b_i$  drawn from  $\mathcal{U}(0, 2\pi)$ 

<sup>1</sup> P. Bouboulis, S. Chouvardas, and S. Theodoridis, "Online distributed learning over networks in RKH spaces using random fourier features," IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 66, no. 7, pp. 1920–1932, 2018.

# The RFF-dKNLMS Algorithm



# Censoring

• **Censoring** in diffusion networks: reducing the number of transmissions during the combination steps

#### Motivations

- Energy savings (critical in WSNs)
- Computational cost reduction (critical when the number of RFFs is large)

#### Feasibility of Kernel-Based Adaptive Diffusion Networks

# Goals

- censor nodes while preserving performance
- keep nodes when the error is high, censor them otherwise

• uncensored • censored



### 2 Proposed censoring mechanism



![](_page_10_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Modifying the RFF-dKNLMS algorithm

Modification: introduction of  $\overline{s}_k(n) \in \{0, 1\}$ 

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\theta}_k(n+1) = [1 - \overline{\boldsymbol{s}_k}(n)] \boldsymbol{\theta}_k(n) + \overline{\boldsymbol{s}_k}(n) [\boldsymbol{\psi}_k(n) + \mu_k(n) \mathbf{z}_k(n) e_k(n)] \\ \boldsymbol{\psi}_k(n+1) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} c_{jk} \boldsymbol{\theta}_j(n+1) \end{cases}$$

# Modifying the RFF-dKNLMS algorithm

If  $\overline{s}_k(n) = 0$  (node k is censored):

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\theta}_k(n+1) = \boldsymbol{\theta}_k(n) \\ \boldsymbol{\psi}_k(n+1) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} c_{jk} \boldsymbol{\theta}_j(n+1) \end{cases}$$

- Nodes store local estimates sent by their neighbors at past iterations
- No need for node k to broadcast  $\theta_k$  again
- ↓ Transmissions
- Computational savings

# Modifying the RFF-dKNLMS algorithm

If  $\overline{s}_k(n) = 1$  (node k is uncensored):

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\theta}_k(n+1) = \boldsymbol{\psi}_k(n) + \mu_k(n) \mathbf{z}_k(n) e_k(n) \\ \\ \boldsymbol{\psi}_k(n+1) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} c_{jk} \boldsymbol{\theta}_j(n+1) \end{cases}$$

• Adaptation and combination are performed as usual

# Calculating $\overline{s}_k(n)$

Introducing  $\alpha_k(n)$  such that

$$\bar{s}_k(n) = \begin{cases} 1, \text{ if } \alpha_k(n) > 0\\ 0, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$J_{\alpha,k}(n) = [\phi_k(n)]\beta\bar{s}_k(n) + [1-\phi_k(n)]$$

 $\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{N}_k}} c_{jk} e_j^2(n)$  (weighted error in  $\mathcal{N}_k$ )

- $\beta>0$  is used to penalize transmissions
- $\phi_k(n) = \phi[\alpha_k(n)]$  is a sigmoid function

![](_page_14_Figure_8.jpeg)

2023 International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing

# Understanding the cost function

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

2023 International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing

11

# Calculating $\overline{s}_k(n)$

By taking  $rac{\partial J_{lpha,k}(n)}{\partial lpha_k(n)}$  and applying the gradient method:

$$\alpha_k(n+1) = \alpha_k(n) + \mu_{s_k}(n)\phi'_k(n) \left[\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} c_{jk}\varepsilon_j^2(n) - \beta_k(n)\overline{s}_k(n)\right]$$

- $\mu_{s_k}(n):$  step size
- $\varepsilon_j$ : last measurement of  $e_j$

• 
$$\beta_k(n) = \gamma \hat{\sigma}_{\mathcal{N}_k}^2(n)^2$$

## Adaptive Censoring RFF-dKNLMS

<sup>2</sup> T. Strutz, "Estimation of measurement-noise variance for variable-step-size NLMS filters," in Proc. of European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO), 2019.

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

Proposed censoring mechanism

![](_page_17_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### 4 Conclusions

# Simulation Conditions

• 
$$\widetilde{\mu}_k = 1$$
 for every  $k$ ,  $k = 1, \cdots, V$ 

•  $c_{jk}$  following the Metropolis rule

![](_page_18_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### For details, please refer to the published paper

# Comparison with other censoring techniques

•  $V_s$  nodes randomly uncensored every iteration and COKE<sup>3</sup>

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

<sup>3</sup> P. Xu, Z. Tian, Z. Zhang, and Y. Wang, "COKE: Communication-censored kernel learning via random features," in 2019 IEEE Data Science Workshop (DSW). IEEE, 2019, pp. 32–36.

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

Proposed censoring mechanism

![](_page_20_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Conclusions

- AC-RFF-dKNLMS vs. RFF-dKNLMS with all nodes uncensored:
  - Same convergence rate and steady-state performance
  - Computational cost:  $\uparrow$  during transient,  $\downarrow\downarrow$  during steady state
  - Nodes censored in steady state: energy savings

- AC-RFF-dKNLMS vs. COKE:
  - Same steady-state performance
  - Slightly faster convergence rate
  - Less computational cost

Acknowledgements

# Thank you!

Acknowledgements:

![](_page_22_Picture_4.jpeg)

- National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq)
- National Council for the Improvement of Higher Education (CAPES)
- São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP)
- Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (MICINN)