







Enhancing End-to-End Conversational Speech Translation Through Target Language Context Utilization

Amir Hussein¹, Brian Yan², Antonios Anastasopoulos³, Shinji Watanabe², Sanjeev Khudanpur¹ ¹Johns Hopkins University, ²Carnegie Mellon University, ³George Mason University

Introduction

- End-to-end Speech Translation (E2E-ST): exciting advances BUT **Translations from isolated utterances lack consistency**.
- Context could help with ambiguity (pronouns, entities, homophones).
- Previous approaches naively concatenate audio as source language context [1].

Overall Improvements

- Incorporating gold context: up to +2.2 BLEU
- Exact decoding: previous predictions used as context for subsequent predictions.
- Multistage decoding: initial predictions from isolated utterances provide context for subsequent decoding stages. (+0.9 BLEU).

Controls context dependence (stages) and reduces error propagation.

- Extended audio limitations: memory limitation, hard to train.
- How to incorporate the context with **minimum memory cost**?
- How about additional contextual information, e.g., speaker ID?

Context	Context	Evaluation Sets					
type	size	Fisher	CallHome	IWSLT22	BOLT		
Baseline	no-context	29.8	25.9 _{+2.2}	19.7	15.5		
Gold	(2,2,3,3)	31.8 †	28.1 †	20.3 †	16.0 †		
Hyp Exact	(2,2,3,3)	30.2† ₊₀	9 25.9	19.8	15.6		
Hyp Multistage	(2,2,3,3)	30.7†	26.4 †	19.8	15.9 †		

Context size and speaker role

Method

- Proposed approach: incorporate previous sentence translations as the initial condition for decoder.
- E2E-ST builds upon the CTC/Attention [2], decomposes the ST into ASR and translation.
- SOC: start of context; SOS: start of sentence; EOS: end of sentence



- Cross speaker context outperforms same speaker context (+.4 BLEU)
- Optimal context size is between 2–3 utterances





Figure 1. illustration of the proposed contextual E2E-ST approach

• Enrich the context with speaker role information:

[Context] [SpkA] I'm from Peru, and you? [SEP] [SpkB] Puerto Rico.
[Target] [SpkA] Oh, from Puerto Rico, oh, ok.

Where do we improve?

- Highest relative improvements:
 - 1. Style: punctuations, interjections
 - 2. Anaphora: pronouns
 - 3. Entities: proper noun



Conclusion

Results

- Context bias: train with context and inference without context worse than baseline by up to -0.9 BLEU
- Context dropout: improves by up to +0.5 BLEU

ID	Train w/context	Decode w/context	Context Dropout	Fisher	CallHome	IWSLT22
1	×	×	-	29.8	25.9	19.7
2	✓	✓	-	31.3†	26.0 -0.9	19.9
3	✓	×	-	29.3	25.0	18.9
4	✓	✓	0.2	31.0	26.5 †	20.2†
5	✓	×	0.2	30.1	25.8	19.8

- Incorporating context leads to significant improvements
- Some datasets benefit more from context (Spanish-English)
- Context dropout enhances robustness to context absence
- Speaker information further improves the performance
- Major improvements from context: context style, anaphora, entities

References

[1] B. Zhang et al., "Beyond sentence-level end-to-end speech translation: Context helps," in Proc. ACL, 2021, pp. 2566–2578
[2] B. Yan et al., "ESPnet-ST-v2: Multipurpose spoken language translation toolkit," in Proc. ACL, 2023, pp. 400–411.