ENHANCING MULTILINGUAL TTS WITH VOICE CONVERSION BASED DATA AUGMENTATION AND POSTERIOR EMBEDDING

Hyun-Wook Yoon, Jin-Seob Kim, Ryuichi Yamamoto, Ryo Terashima, Chan-Ho Song, Jae-Min Kim, Eunwoo Song



< Demo >

Motivation

LY

- Creating a multilingual, multi-speaker (MM) text-to-speech (TTS) system is challenging due to the difficulties in collecting polyglot data from multiple speakers.
- To address this issue, we utilize a voice conversion (VC)-based data augmentation method to train the MM-TTS model.

Posterior encoder

- We train the posterior encoder [3] to focus on capturing the distributions of recorded and augmented data by providing it with explicit speaker and language information.
- During inference, the encoder selectively retrieves posterior embeddings from the entire recorded dataset within the training
- However, simply including the augmented dataset with the recorded dataset can cause a quality degradation issue. In our case, we observed muffled sound issue in synthesized audio.
- Therefore, we use posterior embeddings (1) to capture the acoustic dissimilarity between the recorded and augmented datasets and (2) to utilize a posterior embedding derived from only the recorded data when synthesizing audio.

Voice Conversion for Data Augmentation

- Model
 - Many-to-many Scyclone model with pitch augmentation [1]
 - Each of monolingual training corpus is reproduced by adjusting pitch values in several semitone-levels to cover a variety of prosodies from multiple speaker and languages.
- Dataset
 - Monolingual internal dataset.

NAVER Cloud

Korean, English, Japanese, with a single male and female speaker for each.
Number of utterances per speaker: 500 utterances

- set, averaging these to obtain the final posterior embedding.
- As illustrated in the Figure1, data clusters in the latent space are distinguishable based on their origin from either recorded or augmented data.



- Text-to-speech model
 - The system includes a context encoder, a duration predictor, an autoregressive decoder, and a PWG vocoder [4], complemented by a speaker and language look-up table as well

- Process
 - The original set of 500 sentences is augmented with voices from five other speakers, resulting in a total of 2,500 augmented data. This augmentation process is repeated for each speaker in the dataset.
 - Outcome: Through this augmentation, each speaker's original dataset is expanded by a factor of six (3,000), enhancing the diversity and volume of data available for model training.

Multilingual, multi-speaker TTS system

- Unified phoneme representation
 - We integrate 42 English, 47 Korean, and 50 Japanese phonemes into a unified set consisting of **102 phonemes**.
 - We follow the **International**

Table1: Unified phonemes table

| CONSONANTS (PULMONIC) | | Unified sympol | Original IPA symbol | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| | | Unified symbol | ko | jp | en | | |
| Plosive | Bilabial | р | p ^h ㅍ (파랑) | p パ (パン) | p p (pack) | | |
| | Bhablai | b | b ㅂ (바람) | b ば (ばしょ) | b b (back) | | |
| | Alveolar | t | t ^h ⋿(⋿∤⊏∤) | t た (たべる) | t t (time) | | |
| | Alveolai | d | d ⊏ (다수) | d ど (どうも) | d d (dog) | | |
| | Velar | k | ^{k^h} ㅋ (ヨ기) | k く (くる) | k k (kiss) | | |
| | Venar | g | g ㄱ (가방) | g が (がっこう) | g g (gaggle) | | |
| Nasal | Bilabial | m | m ㅁ (마을) | m ま (まあ) | m m (much) | | |
| | Alveolar | n | n ㄴ (나무) | n な (なっとう) | n n (note) | | |
| Fricative | Labiodental | f | | ∳ .ک، (.ک، <) | f f (fish) | | |
| | Alveolar | S | s ㅅ (사랑) | s さ (さっそう) | s s (soup) | | |
| | Triveolar | Z | t̪z ㅈ (자유) | z ざ (ざくろ) | z z (zip) | | |
| | Alveolo-palatal & Postalveolar | sh | | 。 し (しき) | $\int \\ sh (ship)$ | | |
| | Glottal | h | h ㅎ (하늘) | h は (はな) | | | |
| Affricate | Postalveolar | ch | | ∮ ち(ちゃ) | f ch (chair) | | |
| Trill & Approximant | Labiodental | r | | 「 ラ (ラーメン) | ı r (run) | | |

as a posterior encoder.



Figure2: Model diagram

Experiments

Compared models

- CM-TTS: cross-lingual, multi-speaker TTS model
- MM-TTS: VC-augmented multilingual, multi-speaker TTS model
- MM-TTS_{vae}: MM-TTS with posterior embeddings
- Objective evaluation
 - Intelligibility: WER(%), CER(%)
 - Acoustic similarity: F0_{rmse}(Hz), log spectral distance (LSD)(dB)

Phonetic Alphabet (IPA) [2] for merging phonemes from different languages and phonemes with similar pronunciations (e.g. 'm', 'n' in nasal sound) are combined. (details are provided in the Table1)

Subjective evaluation

• Naturalness: MOS

| Model | English | | | | Korean | | | Japanese | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | WER(%) | CER(%) |) $FO_{rmse}(F$ | H_Z) LSD(dB) | WER(%) | CER(%) | $F0_{rmse}(H$ | (z) LSD(dB) | WER(%) | CER(% |) $F0_{rmse}(F)$ | Hz) LSD(dB) |
| CM-TTS | 3.11 | 1.29 | 37.58 | 4.58 | 19.88 | 6.88 | 29.64 | 4.64 | 16.04 | 10.50 | 25.75 | 4.53 |
| MM-TTS | 16.74 | 10.28 | 37.73 | 4.22 | 27.76 | 11.74 | 26.41 | 4.42 | 21.24 | 14.01 | 24.72 | 4.27 |
| $IM\text{-}TTS_{vae}$ | 4.87 | 2.34 | 36.57 | 4.15 | 15.13 | 4.36 | 26.28 | 4.59 | 14.45 | 9.51 | 24.24 | 4.36 |
| Table2: Objective evaluation | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Model | First language : English | | | | First language : Korean | | | First language : Japanese | | | | |
| | English | 1] | Korean | Japanese | Englis | h k | Korean | Japanese | Englis | h | Korean | Japanese |
| CM-TTS | $2.71 \pm 0.$ | 12 1.9 | 06 ± 0.11 | 2.16 ± 0.11 | 1.70 ± 0 | .08 2.7 | 5 ± 0.10 | 1.75 ± 0.09 | 1.77 ± 0 | .10 1.8 | 84 ± 0.10 | 2.93 ± 0.12 |
| MM-TTS | 2.93 ± 0.2 | $.12 \mid 1.4$ | 7 ± 0.08 | 1.91 ± 0.11 | 1.52 ± 0 | .08 2.1 | 5 ± 0.10 | 1.89 ± 0.09 | 1.96 ± 0 | $.12 \mid 2.3$ | 31 ± 0.13 | 2.98 ± 0.12 |
| AM-TTS _{vae} | $ 3.13\pm0$ | .12 2.1 | 5 ± 0.12 | 2.20 ± 0.12 | $ig 2.13 \pm 0$ | 0.09 3.03 | $3\pm0.10 2$ | 2.34 ± 0.11 | $ig 2.30 \pm 0$ | 0.12 2.6 | 66 ± 0.12 | 3.15 ± 0.12 |
| Recorded | $ 4.65 \pm 0.$ | .08 | - | - | - | 4.9 | 4 ± 0.03 | - | - | | - | 4.73 ± 0.06 |
| Table3: Subjective evaluation | | | | | | | | | | | | |

[1] R. Terashima et al., "Cross-speaker emotion transfer for low-resource text-to-speech using non-parallel voice conversion with pitch-shift data augmentation", Interspeech, 2022

[2] I. P. Association, "Handbook of the International Phonetic Association: A guide to the use of the International Phonetic Alphabet.", Cambridge University Press, 1999

[3] E. Song et al., "TTS-by-TTS 2: Data-selective augmentation for neural speech synthesis using ranking support vector machine with variational autoencoder", Interspeech, 2022

[4] H. Yoon et al., "Language model-based emotion prediction methods for emotional speech synthesis systems", Interspeech 2022

