



Automatic Diagnosis of Alzheimer's Disease Using Neural Network Language Models

Julian Fritsch, Sebastian Wankerl, Elmar Nöth

May 17, 2019



Outline

1. Problem Statement
2. Language Modeling based Alzheimer's Classification
3. Experimental Methodology
4. Results & Analysis
5. Conclusions



1. Problem Statement
2. Language Modeling based Alzheimer's Classification
3. Experimental Methodology
4. Results & Analysis
5. Conclusions

How to Assess a Demented Person's Cognitive State

Alzheimer's dementia is a neurodegenerative disease

Mini-mental state exam (MMSE)

executed by a physician

30 questions to assess mental capabilities:

score < 19 : severe dementia

score > 29 : median of healthy people



How to Assess a Demented Person's Cognitive State

Alzheimer's dementia is a neurodegenerative disease

Mini-mental state exam (MMSE)

executed by a physician

30 questions to assess mental capabilities:

score < 19: severe dementia

score > 29: median of healthy people

Automatic analysis of spontaneous speech

Cookie Theft picture description



Alzheimer's Classification based on Language Structures

Cookie Theft picture description
natural approximation to
spontaneous discourse

Alzheimer's Classification based on Language Structures

Cookie Theft picture description

natural approximation to
spontaneous discourse

Alzheimer's patient:

« There's a young boy getting a cookie jar.
And it he's uh in a bad shape because uh the thing is falling over. »

Healthy control:

« A boy is trying to get cookies out of a jar
and he's about to tip over on a stool. »



1. Problem Statement
2. Language Modeling based Alzheimer's Classification
3. Experimental Methodology
4. Results & Analysis
5. Conclusions



Language Modeling based Alzheimer's Classification

Language modeling

assigning probabilities $P(w)$ to words given previous words

« *the high ... tree/tower/mountain* »

Language Modeling based Alzheimer's Classification

Language modeling

assigning probabilities $P(w)$ to words given previous words

the high ... tree/tower/mountain

Language model evaluation: perplexity (PPL)

$$\text{PPL}(S) = P(S)^{\frac{1}{N}}, \quad P(S) := \text{probability of sequence } S, \quad N := \# \text{ words in } S$$

Language Modeling based Alzheimer's Classification

Language modeling

assigning probabilities $P(w)$ to words given previous words

the high ... tree/tower/mountain

Language model evaluation: perplexity (PPL)

$PPL(S) = P(S)^{\frac{1}{N}}$, $P(S) :=$ probability of sequence S , $N :=$ # words in S

Perplexity-based Alzheimer's classification using n-grams ¹

perplexity difference used for binary Alzheimer's classification

n-grams have a fixed context length

¹S. Wankerl, E. Nöth, and S. Evert, "An n-gram based approach to the automatic diagnosis of alzheimer's disease from spoken language," in Proc. Interspeech, 2017.

1. Problem Statement
2. Language Modeling based Alzheimer's Classification
- 3. Experimental Methodology**
4. Results & Analysis
5. Conclusions

Experimental Methodology

Address shortcomings of n-grams: RWTHLM toolkit

- building and evaluating neural network language models (NNLMs)

- designed for using recurrent and long short-term memory (LSTM) layers

- ! allowing variable context length

Experimental Methodology

Address shortcomings of n-grams: RWTHLM toolkit

- building and evaluating neural network language models (NNLMs)
- designed for using recurrent and long short-term memory (LSTM) layers
- ! allowing variable context length

Experimental setup

- LMs from Alzheimer's $M_{\text{Alzheimer}}$ and control transcriptions M_{control}
- leave-one-speaker-out cross-validation
- excluding 10 randomly selected speakers for validation

Experimental Methodology

Address shortcomings of n-grams: RWTHLM toolkit

- building and evaluating neural network language models (NNLMs)
- designed for using recurrent and long short-term memory (LSTM) layers
- ! allowing variable context length

Experimental setup

- LMs from Alzheimer's $M_{\text{Alzheimer's}}$ and control transcriptions M_{control}
- leave-one-speaker-out cross-validation
- excluding 10 randomly selected speakers for validation

Evaluation

perplexity evaluation of each speaker s on 2 LMs giving ppl_{own} and ppl_{other}

$$ppl_{di} = \begin{cases} ppl_{\text{own}} & \text{if } s \in \text{Alzheimer's group} \\ ppl_{\text{other}} & \text{if } s \in \text{control group} \end{cases}$$

Perplexity Difference for Binary Classification

Comparison of perplexity means from both groups

classification threshold at equal-error rate (EER)

Data DementiaBank's Pitt Corpus

English Cookie Theft picture descriptions & MMSE scores
conducted yearly
publicly available

Selection for Alzheimer's classification:

168 Alzheimer's patients, 255 transliterations

98 control patients, 244 transliterations

1. Problem Statement
2. Language Modeling based Alzheimer's Classification
3. Experimental Methodology
- 4. Results & Analysis**
5. Conclusions

Performance Evaluation with ROC Curves (1)

Overall accuracy: 85.6% at EER, 72 wrongly classified transliterations
(compared to 77.1% at EER with tri-grams)

Performance Evaluation with ROC Curves (2)

Overall accuracy: 85.6% at EER, 72 wrongly classified transcriptions
(compared to 77.1% at EER with tri-grams)

Speakers with an MMSE score from 21 to 30: 79.9% at EER,
66 wrongly classified transcriptions

Performance Evaluation with ROC Curves (3)

All speakers: 85% true positive rate (TPR), 10% false positive rate (FPR)

Speakers with an MMSE score from 21 to 30: 85% TPR, 33% FPR

Performance Evaluation with ROC Curves (4)

All speakers: 85% true positive rate (TPR), 10% false positive rate (FPR)

Speakers with an MMSE score from 21 to 30: 73% TPR, 10% FPR

Classification Results per MMSE (1)

Histogram of all Alzheimer's MMSE scores

Classification Results per MMSE (2)

Histogram of all Alzheimer's and control MMSE scores

Classification Results per MMSE (3)

Histogram of all Alzheimer's and control MMSE scores and accuracy per MMSE

Using Perplexity Difference for MMSE Estimation

Pearson's correlation r and Spearman's correlation between MMSE scores and perplexity difference p_{di} :

	r	
Alzheimer's	0.433	0.547
Control	0.112	0.109
All	0.656	0.771

1. Problem Statement
2. Language Modeling based Alzheimer's Classification
3. Experimental Methodology
4. Results & Analysis
- 5. Conclusions**

Conclusions

Neural network-based language models used for Alzheimer's classification
model language structures well (85.6% vs 77.1% with tri-grams)
perplexity difference correlates well with MMSE scores
is a purely statistical approach transferable to other languages

Conclusions

Neural network-based language models used for Alzheimer's classification
model language structures well (85.6% vs 77.1% with tri-grams)
perplexity difference correlates well with MMSE scores
is a purely statistical approach transferable to other languages

Acknowledgements

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 766287.

ROC Curve Comparison to N-grams

ROC Curve Comparison to N-grams

LSTM-NNMLs: 85.6% at EER, 72 wrongly classified transliterations

Tri-gram LMs: 77.1% at EER, 114 wrongly classified transliterations

