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Introduction

• Text Classification:
  – assigning one or more predefined categories to a textual segment
    one-class, binary, multiclass, hierarchical
    single label vs. multiple labels
    balanced vs. imbalanced
    ......
  – larger feature space, higher time and space cost
    very challenging at the era of big data
Introduction

• Feature Selection:

  – **Task**: finding the most effective feature subset
  – **Benefits**: a) lower time and space cost; b) less overfitting
  – **Methods**: Wrapper and Filter (widely used)
    
    Filtering Metrics: information gain, chi-square, bi-normal separation, document frequency, odds ratio, mutual information, power, and so on.
Introduction

• What's the problem of the traditional FS metrics:

  – To calculate a metric, many statistics need to be collected. During this process, the traditional methods treat all features equally, and do not consider whether a feature is an important one in a sample.
  – In a textual sample, some features usually play more important roles than others.
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• What's the problem of the traditional FS metrics:
  – To calculate a metric, many statistics need to be collected. During this process, the traditional methods treat all features equally, and do not consider whether a feature is an important one in a sample.
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Important features should give more weights? But how can we do that?
Importance Weighted Feature Selection Strategy

For a feature $t$ and a class $CLS_i$, we need to collect the following numbers and obtain a contingency table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$CLS_i$</th>
<th>Other Classes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>$A_i$</td>
<td>$B_i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No $t$</td>
<td>$C_i$</td>
<td>$D_i$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$A_i$: how many documents belong to class $CLS_i$ and contain the feature $t$;

$B_i$: how many documents do not belong to class $CLS_i$ but contain the feature $t$;

$C_i$: how many documents belong to class $CLS_i$ but do not contain the feature $t$;

$D_i$: how many documents do not belong to class $CLS_i$ and, at the same time, do not contain the feature $t$. 
Importance Weighted Feature Selection Strategy

(1) Chi-Square metric

\[ CHI = \sum_{i=1}^{M} CHI_i \]
\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{(A_i + B_i + C_i + D_i) \times (A_i \times D_i - C_i \times B_i)}{(A_i + C_i) \times (B_i + D_i) \times (A_i + B_i) \times (C_i + D_i)} \]

(2) Information Gain metric

\[ IG = \sum_{i=1}^{M} IG_i \]
\[ IG_i = e(A_i + C_i, B_i + D_i) - \frac{A_i + B_i}{A_i + B_i + C_i + D_i} \cdot e(A_i, B_i) - \frac{C_i + D_i}{A_i + B_i + C_i + D_i} \cdot e(C_i, D_i) \]
\[ e(x, y) = - \frac{x}{x + y} \log \left( \frac{x}{x + y} \right) - \frac{y}{x + y} \log \left( \frac{y}{x + y} \right) \]
Importance Weighted Feature Selection Strategy

When deriving the following contingency table, we take some different strategies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( \text{CLS}_i )</th>
<th>Other Classes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( t )</td>
<td>( A_i )</td>
<td>( B_i )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No ( t )</td>
<td>( C_i )</td>
<td>( D_i )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For \( A_i \) and \( B_i \), when a sample contains the feature \( t \), we will add rather than a constant 1 a real value between 0 and 1, which indicates how important \( t \) is in the sample.

For \( C_i \) and \( D_i \), three options:
- **MIN**: to use the minimal importance value of all features;
- **AVG**: to use the average importance value;
- **MAX**: to use the maximal importance value.
Importance Weighted Feature Selection Strategy

Importance value:

(1) \[ I(t, d_j) = \frac{TF_t}{\max (TF_1, TF_2, \ldots, TF_{|d_j|})} \]

(2) \[ I(t, d_j) = \frac{TFIDF_t}{\max (TFIDF_1, TFIDF_2, \ldots, TFIDF_{|d_j|})} \]
Experiments and Discussion

1. **Goal**: to verify whether the proposed importance weighted feature selection strategy performs better;
   - **Metrics**: Chi-Square and information gain;
   - **Algorithm**: Liblinear (performs best overall);
   - **Datasets**: 20 newsgroups, Sector, Nlpcc2014

2. Other settings:
   - **Term weighting**: TFIDF (ltc)
   - **Evaluation measure**: Micro–Averaging F1 and Macro-Averaging F1
Experiments and Discussion

• More information about the Datasets
  – **20 Newsgroups**: balanced, 20 classes, 11,293 training samples and 7,528 test samples.
    • 73,712 candidate features
  – **Sector**: modest imbalanced, 105 categories, 6,412 training samples, and 3,207 test samples. The stop words and rare words (DF=1) are removed in this version.
    • 48,988 candidate features
  – **Nlpcc2014**: imbalanced, 247 categories, 11,385 training samples, and 11,577 test samples.
    • 425,488 candidate features
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Figure 1. Performance on the 20 newsgroups dataset with Information Gain metric.
Figure 2. Performance on the 20 newsgroups dataset with Chi-Square metric.
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Figure 3. Performance on the Sector dataset with Information Gain metric.
Figure 4. Performance on the Sector dataset with Chi-Square metric.
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Figure 5. Performance on the Nlpcc2014 dataset with Information Gain metric.
Experiments and Discussion

Figure 6. Performance on the Nlpcc2014 dataset with Chi-Square metric.
Conclusions and Future Work

• The traditional FS metrics do not care about how important a feature is in a sample, and may introduce much noise.

• A general importance weighted feature selection strategy is then proposed. Experiments with two popular FS metrics on three text classification problems demonstrate its effectiveness. The strategy performs much better on imbalanced datasets.

• Experiment with more datasets on more text mining applications.

• Apply the strategy into revising other existing feature selection metrics.

• Explore how to better determine the importance of a feature in a sample.
Thanks for your attention!
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