## **Our Contributions**

- Implementation of a Deep Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) algorithm for underwater event classification;
- **Empirical selection of optimal CNN architecture and parameters;**
- Comparison to Multilayer Perceptron (MLP) classification algorithm preceded by manually selected wavelet-based feature extraction;
- Collection and usage of large datasets 200,000 samples for each event class.

## **Problem Statement:**

- Intensification of subsea oil and gas field exploitation has turned the inspection of underwater pipelines into a progressively demanding task;
- Visual inspection by humans is a tedious endeavor, particularly in the cases of long inspections, low image quality and search for multiple targets;
- Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (AUVs) are able to automatically detect and track underwater pipelines;
- Event classification methods based on machine learning can be used in order to automatically inspect the pipelines;
- Classic neural network techniques, such as the MLP, are strongly dependent on feature extraction methods, which are often manually carried out;
- Recently, deep learning algorithms have been able to iteratively extract their own features from original data.

#### MLP Algorithm

- The CNN classification accuracy was compared to the one from a system comprised of a multilayer perceptron preceded by a waveletbased feature extractor;
- A 3-level Daubechies 2 (Db2) wavelet was employed, and the mean and the variance of the wavelet coefficients at each subband within each level were used as features for the neural network [7];
- Empirically optimal MLP architecture was composed of a 23-element input layer and a 12-element hidden layer.







Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro

# CLASSIFICATION OF UNDERWATER PIPELINE EVENTS USING DEEP CONVOLUTIONAL NEURAL NETWORKS

Felipe R. Petraglia, José Gabriel R. C. Gomes Department of Electrical Engineering, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil Emails: fpetraglia@pads.ufrj.br, gabriel@pads.ufrj.br

#### **Event Classes and Data Sets**

- The classifier developed in this work was used to detect four different event classes:
  - Inner coating exposure (ICE):
  - Occurs when pipeline surface is damaged;
  - Caused by the object impact and by natural circumstances, such as waves and sea currents;
  - Can be described as a texture region containing parallel stripes, possibly surrounded by homogeneous regions.
- Presence of algae:
  - Can be characterized by a variety of shapes, colors and textures;
  - Might hide damages on the pipeline surface, hampering their detection.
- Flanges:
  - Commonly found at pipeline junctions, used for holding pipeline sections together;
  - When seen from a frontal view, these events are outlined by hexagonal prisms surrounding cylinders;
  - When seen from a side view, they are characterized by thinner rectangles emerging from thicker structures.

#### **Classification Results**

- For each event class, 100,000 positive and 100,000 negative samples were randomly mixed;
- 162,000 samples were used for training, 18,000 for validating and 20,000 for testing the networks;
- Before being applied to the neural network input, each window is converted to grayscale, in order to eliminate color dependence;
- Results obtained by the CNN and by the MLP for the four classes of events are shown in Table 1.

Accuracy (%)	ICE	Algae	Flange	CB
CNN	96.5	98.3	83.0	95.0
MLP	94.6	97.0	82.4	90.8

 
 Table 1. Classification accuracy for the four different event
classes. 



Engenharia Elétrica UFRJ

This work was supported by Brazilian higher education and research funding agencies: CAPES, CNPq, and FAPERJ.

### **Event Classes and Data Sets**

- Concrete blankets (CB):
- Placed under or over the pipelines;
- Constructed to give support or protect the pipelines from vibrations;
- Usually identified by a regular brick array.



Fig. 1. Samples from different event datasets. From top to bottom rows, ICE, algae, flange, CB and negative samples.

- Windows containing event samples were extracted from high resolution images (1280 x 720), in order to train, validate and test the implemented classification system;
- For ICE, algae and CB samples, 60 x 60 pixel windows were extracted;
- For flanges, 80 x 80 pixel windows were extracted, due to the need to include their entire geometry in each sample.

# Conclusions

**CNN** was shown to efficiently classify underwater pipeline events in comparison with the MLP based on wavelet computed features;

• Inner coating exposure, presence of algae, flanges and concrete blankets were the event classes considered;

• CNN obtained higher classification accuracy on all four event classes;

• 93.2% classification accuracy was achieved on average, whereas the perceptron accuracy reached 91.2% on average;

Classification was preformed without the need of manually selected feature extraction.

Acknowledgement:





[7] E. Medina, M.R. Petraglia, and J.G.R.C. Gomes, "Neural-network based algorithm for algae detection in automatic inspection of underwater pipelines," in 15<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 2016.



## **CNN Algorithm**

• The implemented CNN topology consists of two convolutional, two max-pooling and two fully-connected (FC) layers.



Fig. 2. Utilized CNN Architecture

Adam optimizer [5] was utilized, with learning rate set to 0.001;

Cross-entropy loss function was utilized;

Batch size was set to 100;

60 x 60 Input Image

Keras API was utilized for the implementation.

#### References

[1] J. Antich and A. Ortiz, "Underwater cable tracking by visual feedback," in Proceedings of Pattern Recognition and Image Analysis. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2003.

[2] M. Jacoby and D. Karimanzira, "Underwater pipeline and cable inspection using autonomous underwater vehicles," in MTS/IEEE OCEANS -*Bergen*, 2013.

[3] M.D. Zeiler and R. Fergus, "Visualizing and understanding convolutional networks," in European Conference on Computer Vision, 2014.

[4] S. loffe and C. Szegedy, "Batch normalization: Accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift," in 32nd International *Conference on Machine Learning*, 2015.

[5] D. Kingma and J. Ba, "Adam: A method for stochastic optimization," in 5th International Conference on Learning Representations, 2015.

[6] N. Srivastava, G. Hinton, A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, and R. Salakhutdinov, "Dropout: A simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting," in Journal of Machine Learning Research, 2014.

