#### INTEGRATING THOR TOOLS INTO THE EMERGING AV1 CODEC

#### Steinar Midtskogen, Arild Fuldseth, Gisle Bjøntegaard

Cisco Systems Inc.,

Philip Pedersens vei 1, 1366 Lysaker, Norway

#### **Thomas Davies**

Cisco Systems, Inc.,

Bedfont Lakes, Feltham, Middlesex, TW14 8HA, United Kingdom

ICIP2017

# Royalty-free video codecs

- The deployment of recent compression technologies such as HEVC/H.265 may have been delayed or restricted due to their licensing terms
- Cisco has contributed to two parallel efforts aiming to standardise a royalty-free video codec:
  - The NETVC working group within IETF (since March 2015)
    - Our Thor codec proposed as the starting point
  - The Alliance for Open Media (since September 2015)
    - Google's VP9 codec chosen as the starting point
- Cisco originally proposed to use Thor as the starting point for the AOMedia codec (AV1), but when VP9 was chosen instead, we investigated what Thor could add to VP9

### What can Thor add to VP9/AV1?

Since Thor aims for reasonable compression at only moderate complexity, we considered features of Thor that could increase the compression efficiency of VP9 and/or reduce the computational complexity:

- VP9 lacks an in-loop deringing filter (like SAO in H.265), where Thor has a low complexity constrained low-pass filter (CLPF)
- Thor's filters for sub-pixel motion compensation have lower complexity
- Thor's support for quantisation weighting matrices adds the flexibility whether to favour metrics like PSNR or FAST-SSIM and PSNR-HVS

We also considered:

- Thor's chroma from luma prediction, but it was not directly transferable because VP9/AV1 allows different prediction modes for luma and chroma
- The transforms used in Thor (identical to those in H.265), but we hadn't time to investigate properly

- In-loop and applied after the deblocking filter as a separate pass
- The purpose is to reduce coding artefacts and improve overall image quality
- Often reclaims some of the losses introduced by compute constrained encoders making CLPF an attractive tool for low complexity or real-time encoders
- Simplicity guided the design: Finding the sweet spot of the most compression gain and the least computational complexity

• Modifies a pixel x at position m, n with strength s:

$$x'(m,n) = x(m,n) + \sum_{i,j \in R} a(i,j) f(x(m+i,n+j) - x(m,n),s)$$

- f(x, y) restricts x to [-y, y] CLPF is a non-linear filter
- The *R* neighbourhood and values of *a*(*i*, *j*):



- Pseudo-code:
  - $\begin{array}{l} X' = X + (4*clip(A-X,-s,s) + clip(B-X,-s,s) + 3*clip(C-X,-s,s) + \\ 3*clip(D-X,-s,s) + clip(E-X,-s,s) + 4*clip(F-X,-s,s))/16 \end{array}$

- The frame is divided into 32x32, 64x64 or 128x128 filter blocks
- One filter strength is chosen for the entire frame: 0 (off), 1, 2 or 4
- Only non-skip coding blocks within a filter block may be filtered
- One bit is optionally signalled per luma filter block to disable the filter for that block if it contains at least one non-skip coding block
- All non-skip chroma coding blocks are filtered (no signalling)
- Limited search space for the encoder (13 options for a frame):
  - off
  - filter all qualified blocks with s=1, 2 or 4 (no block level signalling)
  - optionally filter blocks with s=1, 2 or 4 for block sizes 32x32, 64x64 or 128x128
- Offers a good compression/complexity trade-off compared to other proposed filters ("dering" and "loop restoration")

#### CLPF Unfiltered



Compression results (BD-Rate) and complexity change.
 Low delay configuration.

(medium complexity configuration in parentheses)

	PSNR	PSNR HVS	SSIM	CIEDE 2000	APSNR	MS SSIM	encoder complexity	decoder complexity
CLPF	-2.79% (-5.93%)	-1.65% (-3.92%)	-2.21% (-5.86%)	-3.01% (-5.87%)	-2.76% (-5.85%)	-1.80% (-4.40%)	(-0.60%)	(8.64%)
dering	-2.59% (-5.25%)	-1.86% (-4.20%)	-2.50% (-5.89%)	-2.13% (-4.56%)	-2.54% (-5.15%)	-1.98% (-4.63%)	(1.63%)	(22.1%)
dering+CLPF	-3.61% (-7.25%)	-2.31% (-5.11%)	-3.06% (-7.35%)	-3.39% (-6.91%)	-3.56% (-7.14%)	-2.45% (-5.64%)	(1.84%)	(26.8%)
loop restoration	-4.19% (-7.36%)	-1.77% (-3.98%)	-3.12% (-6.87%)	-3.32% (-6.26%)	-4.14% (-7.27%)	-1.79% (-4.29%)	(385%)	(264%)

• Compression results (BD-Rate) and complexity change. **High delay** configuration.

(medium complexity configuration in parentheses)

	PSNR	PSNR HVS	SSIM	CIEDE 2000	APSNR	MS SSIM	encoder complexity	decoder complexity
CLPF	-1.16% (-2.95%)	-0.41% (-1.50%)	-0.84% (-3.14%)	-1.42% (-3.24%)	-1.18% (-2.99%)	-0.50% (-2.04%)	(-0.62%)	(5.73%)
dering	-1.40% (-3.01%)	-0.77% (-2.04%)	-1.33% (-3.49%)	-1.05% (-2.70%)	-1.40% (-3.02%)	-0.89% (-2.46%)	(0.72%)	(16.7%)
dering+CLPF	-1.62% (-3.69%)	-0.61% (-1.98%)	-1.31% (-3.93%)	-1.56% (-3.72%)	-1.63% (-3.71%)	-0.75% (-2.54%)	(0.76%)	(19.8%)
loop restoration	-2.44% (-4.17%)	-0.71% (-1.62%)	-1.87% (-4.20%)	-1.89% (-3.72%)	-2.44% (-4.23%)	-0.76% (-2.13%)	(346%)	(239%)

## Improved filter coefficients

- For motion compensation at sub-pixel resolution Thor uses computationally less complex filters:
  - Thor: 6 taps and 7 bit coefficients, no shift between horiz/vert
  - VP9: 8 taps and 8 bit coefficients, 7 bit shift between horiz/vert
- A reduction from 8 to 7 bits ensures that the sums after applying the filter in one direction stay within 16 bit
- New coefficients for AV1:
  - Standard filter: 6 taps, 7 bit coefficents (taken from Thor's uni-prediction filter)
  - Sharp filter: 8 taps and 7 bit coefficients
  - Smooth filter: 6 taps, 7 bit coefficients
- Coefficients added to accommodate for 1/16 sub-pixel resolutions

### Improved filter coefficients

pos	-2	-1	0	1	2	3
0/16	0	0	64	0	0	0
1/16	1	-3	63	4	-1	0
$^{2/16}$	1	-5	61	9	-2	0
$^{3/16}$	1	-6	58	14	-4	1
$^{4/16}$	1	-7	55	19	-5	1
$^{5/16}$	1	-7	51	24	-6	1
$^{6/16}$	1	-8	47	29	-6	1
$^{7/16}$	1	-7	42	33	-6	1
$^{8/16}$	1	-7	38	38	-7	1

 Table 1: Standard filter coefficients

#### • Results:

pos	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4
$^{0}/_{16}$	0	0	0	64	0	0	0	0
$^{1/16}$	-1	1	-3	63	4	-1	1	0
$^{2/16}$	-1	3	-6	62	8	-3	2	0
$^{3/16}$	-1	4	-9	60	13	-5	3	-1
$^{4/16}$	-2	5	-11	58	19	-7	3	-1
$^{5/16}$	-2	5	-11	54	24	-9	4	-1
6/16	-2	5	-12	50	30	-10	4	-1
$^{7/16}$	-2	5	-12	45	35	-11	5	-1
$^{8/16}$	-2	6	-12	40	40	-12	6	-1

 Table 2: Sharp filter coefficients

pos	-2	-1	0	1	2	3
$^{0}/16$	0	0	64	0	0	0
$^{1/16}$	1	14	31	17	1	0
$^{2/16}$	0	13	31	18	2	0
$^{3/16}$	0	11	31	20	2	0
4/16	0	10	30	21	3	0
$^{5/16}$	0	9	29	22	4	0
$^{6/16}$	0	8	28	23	5	0
$^{7/16}$	-1	8	27	24	6	0
$^{8/16}$	-1	7	26	26	7	-1

Table 3: Smooth filter coefficents

r conf.	netric	PSNR	PSNR HVS	SSIM	CIEDE 2000	APSNR	MS SSIM
low de	elay	-0.40%	-0.56%	-0.62%	-0.31%	-0.40%	-0.59%
high de	elay	-0.02%	-0.04%	-0.10%	0.13%	-0.03%	-0.07%

**Table 9**: Results with precision loss between the filter passes.

metric conf.	PSNR	PSNR HVS	SSIM	CIEDE 2000	APSNR	MS SSIM
low delay	-0.98%	-1.02%	-1.41%	-1.58%	-0.98%	-1.24%
high delay	-0.45%	-0.43%	-0.62%	-0.89%	-0.46%	-0.43%

**Table 10**: Results without precision loss between the filter passes.

### **Quantisation matrices**

- Allow variable quantiser step sizes for different frequencies to better match the human contrast sensitivity
- Widely used since at least JPEG, but lacking in VP9
- Hurts PSNR, but improves FAST-SSIM and PSNR-HVS
- A fixed matrix set is shared between the encoder and decoder containing matrices for each combination of:
  - transform block size (4x4, 8x8, 16x16, 32x32)
  - component type (luma, chroma)
  - block type (intra, inter)
  - quantisation matrix index indicating flatness (16 values)
- The flatness is signalled at the frame level

#### Quantisation matrices

• Results (BD-Rate for different strengths/levels of flatness)



### Current status in AV1

The AV1 specification is work in progess. Much has changed since the paper was written and some details still remain. But:

- The proposed quantisation matrices were adopted with common intra/inter matrices & smaller matrices derived from larger to save memory
- The proposed interpolation filters were adopted using 2 bits increased resolution for intermediate sums
- CLPF was merged with another deringing proposal from Mozilla to form a joint proposal from Mozilla and Cisco: the Constrained Directional Enhancement filter (CDEF)
  - Presented separately in a grand challenge session at ICIP 2017
  - Adds compression gains over CLPF at the cost of added complexity

#### Questions?